Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

 1. the CoC Application,
 2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
 3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

 As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

 1. The FY 2023 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
 2. The FY 2023 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
 3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
 4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
 5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

 Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
 - 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
 - 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.
 Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions
 Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

 Attachments
 Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.
 - Attachments must match the questions they are associated with–if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
 - We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
 - 24 CFR part 578;
  - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
 - Section 3 Resources;
 - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	

	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2022 to April 30, 2023:
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted–including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	No
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
9.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	No
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	No
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.				
35.				

By selecting "other" you must identify what "other" is.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.		
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)		

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
	2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
	3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness, CACH, actively invites agencies and individuals to join its 70 plus member Coalition. Official membership involves signing an MOU and requires participation at 3/4 of CACH's general and/or working committee meetings. CACH's website invite new membership and its requirements but invites all to attend coalition meetings and events regardless of official membership. Membership is also promoted through awareness presentations at public community events throughout the county and at direct invitations from churches, colleges, and other agencies.

CACH contacts new agencies as they emerge. In the previous year four agencies that were newly created or previously unengaged joined CACH's weekly pandemic response meetings and CACH integrated them into membership and to funding opportunities.

2. CACH publishes all materials including membership invitation in electronic format accessible on its website which has a multiple language toggle option.Posting in electronic format and platforms allow for disability assistance features in devices such as voice narration and font size to be accessible.

3. CACH solicits its network for recommendation of homeless or formerly homeless members. CACH has a formerly homeless (within 7 years) represented at the board and for competition processes. BIPOC agency members are represented CACH's committees and membership. Tri-County Community Action Committee, Harrisburg LGBTQ+ Center, Latino Connection,and Center for Independent Living are examples of such agencies.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC:
	1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
	2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;
	3.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
	4.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CACH holds bimonthly general and public meetings for the CoC's extensive network of over seventy agencies as a key venue for information and input. CACH organized a recent five-year update of its Homeless Blueprint Plan with participation from ever agency represented in the table 1-b-1. This substantial involvement included: County Children and Youth, Criminal Justice Advisory Board, Mental Health, Substance Use services; PHAs; legal aid; VA and Veterans agencies; managed care and hospitals; LGBTQ advocacy; housing providers; street outreach; case management services; Supported Employment; Local Lead Agency for housing and 811; faith-based services; United Way and other Foundations; Center for Independent Living; formerly homeless individuals; and the public at large. The significant input produced a comprehensive and actionable blueprint that serves as the agenda for new and renewed working committees formed as a result of feedback. This year CACH invited persons with lived experience who are currently homeless to participated in a committee for feedback and involvement in projects, programs, concerns, and activities. This involved youth experiencing
homelessness as well as participants residing in homeless encampments.

2. Therefore, at its General Membership Meeting CACH informs and receives input about blueprint and continuum activities, and any new programs or approaches that arise from the membership. CACH also sends out multiple information blasts through its membership listserv, website and social media accounts.

3. CACH working subcommittees then integrates that input or new opportunities from the membership. For example, "A Miracle for Sure" a non profit agency that serves persons with incarceration backgrounds attended CACH subcommittee meetings. At these meetings the need to improve unsheltered services with showers and respite center as a way to meet a growing need. This is a proven best practice for building relational trust over time to help address and end homelessness for persons who are unsheltered. However, no adequate host and resource was identified after many attempts. A Miracle For Sure heard this need and has a facility that could host showers and services which led to the establishment of a new street homeless showers and respite site to meet the growing need.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.		
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)		

		Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:
	1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
	2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications–the process;
	3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
	4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. On 7-27-2023 soon after HUD published the final pro rata and bonus amounts, CACH publicly posted on its website, Facebook, and listserv an invitation to apply for the CoC local competition and a timeline for submission. The public invitation, in bold and underlined font ,repeatedly requested new agencies that were not previously funded to apply and to contact CACH for assistance.

2. CACH's public notice described the application process and the timeline following HUD's deadlines for submission, review and public notifications. CACH made sure to highlight important application procedures that new agencies might not know such as immediately applying for a DUNS number and creating a SNAPS account. The invitation also provided links to New Project Detailed Instructions and all HUD NOFO materials. CACH requested new projects to contact the CoC so that the Continuum is
aware and can provide technical assistance. As a result three new agencies contacted about submitting application and CACH provided in depth technical assistance and encouragement. For various reasons the agencies decided not to apply this year.

3. The notice informed that all projects, new and renewal, will be selected and prioritized through objective ranking. The public notice described the criteria for ranking and the process of selection, and the pro rata and bonus amounts availalble.

4. CACH published the notice on its website which has a multiple language toggle option, and such notice in electronic format and medium allow for disability assistance features in computers and devices such as voice narration and font size to be accessible.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

	In the chart below:	
1.	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.		

	1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC:
	1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds;
	2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
	3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
	4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions to address homelessness within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in the Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC PA501 has two ESG recipients that are also Consolidated Plan jurisdictions, the City of Harrisburg (City) and the County of Dauphin, PA (County).

1.Harrisburg City and Dauphin County are members of CACH's board and at the committee level. The head of the City's Department of Building and Housing Development and the County's Director of Social Services who oversee ESG grants and submission. In formal grant meetings CACH advises both the City and the County on new and renewal ESG applications and ESG-CV grants. The City incorporates the feedback and allocates as an entitlement committee, while the County as a non-entitlement community submits its ESG application to the State.

2.CACH is the HMIS lead and submits the CAPER required for ESG programs into SAGE. The CAPER is the formal reporting and evaluation tool that the City, County utilizes for evaluating performance and relies on CACH at a minimum for that data. CACH developed Written Standards for ESG performance based on longitudinal PIT and HMIS data analysis on housing destination and self sufficiency benchmarks. The performance standards were developed with feedback from ESG recipients as well as sub-recipients. Ongoing feedback from ESG recipients is readily achieved since County and City representatives are on CACH's Board and ESG sub-recipients serve on the Service Delivery Committee which is tasked with annual updates of the Written Standards.

3.Annually, the City and County requests from CACH PIT and other pertinent data to update its Consolidated Plan. CACH submits to both jurisdictions the PIT, HIC, and information pertinent to their consolidated plan.

4.Both the City and County have formally charged CACH to determine homeless priorities and strategies and have formally adopted CoC (CACH's) policies, determinations, and prioritization in their Consolidated Plans submitted to HUD. As mentioned CACH provides the PIT, HIC, and blueprint information to the two jurisdictions for those purposes.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity: | |

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers.	Yes

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with: | |

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

| | Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4. | |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The Education for Children and Youth Experiencing Homelessness (ECYEH) Region 2 Office, a program of the PA Department of Education, oversee the ten School Districts (LEA and SD) homeless liaisons in the CoC. Capital Area HeadStart provide dedicated slots for homeless students and is the CoC's MIECVP, Early HeadStart, Public Pre-K, and Birth to 3 Services. ECYEH and Capital Area Headstart collaborate with CACH representing Children and Youth Education Providers (YEP). ECYEH is at CoC meetings and the CoC at EYCEH regional meetings. ECYEH
provide training and support to CACH providers about McKinney-Vento-HEARTH homeless student rights and services. When a homeless student is identified, CACH providers work with the LEA and ECYEH to ensure the family is aware of their eligibility for educational services. ECYEH and LEAs collaborate with Valley Youth House and the Synergy Project for unaccompanied youth outreach. ECYEH and Capital Area HeadStart attend CACH's general and subcommittee fulfilling blueprint planning and membership commitment.

2.The CoC has an MOU with ECYEH representing YEP and with Capital Area Head Start.

3.EYCEH is the SEA appointed agency for our jurisdiction. ECYEH and LEA coordination with CACH for homeless student response is already mentioned in #1.

4.The CoC has an MOU with ECYEH representing SEA.

5.EYCEH's network involves school district homeless liaisons who respond directly with CACH service providers to homeless student needs in their district. For families in shelter, they organize transportation needs and rights, education supplies and ensure seamless school disruption. EYCEH and school liaisons take an active role in CACH committees by chairing them.

6.The CoC has an MOU with ECYEH that represent every School District homeless liaison and service in our CoC.

| 1C-4b. | Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.d. | |

| Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC procedure for homeless providers who come in contact with families with children:

1.Inform homeless families with school age children of their rights under the Mckinney-Vento/HEARTH Act, specifically that their child(ren)

   a.may attend the school and school district where they originally attended before becoming homeless;

   b.the school district bears responsibility for bus transportation;

   c.and in all facets and services the student is not to be singled out and treated in a manner that can lead to ostracization.

2.Connect them with the LEA of the immediate school district and the school district where homelessness originated, provided there is no domestic violence or safety issues involved. The LEA will be able to assist with the enforcement of the school of origin attendance if so desired, as well as have access to multiple other supports, services, and materials that may assist the student and homeless family.

3.Have a designated staff person, whether a children's case manager or a primary case manager, as the point of contact for LEAs and for other matters pertinent to students' education well-being.

4.Provide supportive environments conducive for continuing education within the homeless facility: i.e. designated space for homework, access to safe internet and computers where possible, etc. Ongoing training and refreshers on the childhood impact of homelessness, trauma, PTSD, and Mckinney-Vento/HEARTH education services and resources are structured through CACH Service delivery Committee in coordination with Educating Youth and Children Experiencing Homelessness (EYCEH).

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	Yes	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	Yes	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	No
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	Yes	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	No
7.	Healthy Start	Yes	No
8.	Public Pre-K	Yes	No

9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

	1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors–Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
		NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	state domestic violence coalitions	No
2.	state sexual assault coalitions	No
3.	other organizations that help this population	Yes

	1C-5a.	Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations indicated in Question 1C-5 to:
	1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
	2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The YWCA, is Pennsylvania Coalition against Domestic Violence (PCADV) and Pennsylvania Coalition against Rape (PCAR) designated VAWA service provider. The YWCA is also the local and regional provider of services for victims of human trafficking through OVC and other sources. The YWCA is also the CoC's largest member provider of general homeless housing and services programs. As such the YWCA's predominance in the CoC results in ongoing up dates of CoC-wide policies and training in VAWA services, developments, and procedures as required. In addition there are other housing providers that serve domestic violence survivors but not VAWA sponsored which also provide input from their service participants to improve and change policy.

For example, this year, the Coordinated Entry Committee met with the YWCA to establish confidential sharing details in order for the YWCA to be a CES access site for VAWA victims, thereby ensuring even quicker and safe access to homeless housing programs and services. CACH then updates its CES wide policy to reflect that development.

2. The YWCA provides training on trauma informed care to the Continuum as part of their victims services and community education program. This is part of CACH's Service Delivery Committee agenda that is scheduled annually for housing and outreach providers.

1C-5b.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:	
1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and	
2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The YWCA, the CoC's state designated VAWA service provider and provider of services of victims of Human Trafficking. The YWCA annually trains CoC housing and CE providers on confidentiality, victim and trauma sensitive response, victim centric-care and referral. The YWCA victim services provider training is provided through scheduled presentation promoted to all housing and homeless service providers i.e. direct staff, frontline workers, case managers, and outreach worker. This is a standing annual directive and coordinated by the Service Delivery Committee. The training covers in depth trauma informed care, victim-oriented service, safety protocols, triggers and signs, and homelessness as trauma in and of itself.

2.CACH CE is a hybrid model of a HUB and select outreach agencies. The CES agencies and staff are provided training on trauma informed care through the training scheduled annually by the Service Delivery Committee.

1C-5c.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:	
1.	safety planning protocols; and	
2.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC Coordinated Entry System (CES) refers all safety planning to the YWCA, the VAWA provider and subject matter expert. That is to say safety planning is required and ensured, but through the professionals and agency with the expertise and means to effectuate them.

The very first step in the Coordinated Entry process for homeless households requesting assistance is to privately ask a household member (away from other household members) if that person is fleeing or a victim of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking or dating violence. CES assessment administrators are instructed how to respond sensitively and privately if any indication is given that the participant is a victim. If the answer is affirmative, the individual is asked if they are willing, either now or if necessary later, to talk on a confidential hotline to the YWCA for safety planning. The time, space, and venue for that conversation to occur is then arranged, as soon as possible.   If the person can do so immediately, the Coordinated Entry staff administering the CES assessment calls the YWCA hotline for a warm handoff and stays with the victim while the safety plan is being created. the YWCA as the VAWA provider is contacted and involved to provide the client with professional safety planning and connection with the myriad of resources such as legal support, protection from abuse orders, access to safe housing as needed, and how to keep their location and personal trackable items confidential. However, if the client refuses, the YWCA has trained agencies on how to advice clients in creating a basic safety plan and confidentiality.

2. All Coordinated Entry staff, as written in our CES policies, adhere to strict confidentiality protocols. For victims referred by the YWCA to CES an anonymous identifier is used and all personal identifying information is not revealed to housing and service providers for whom that person is eligible, but only what is needed for eligibility and referral. Confidentiality statements for victims are part of intake processes and part of the training provided by the YWCA. If a household identifies as a victim, service providers follow confidential protocols to not disclose victim status or location. As mentioned the household if willing is triaged to the YWCA comprehensive housing and services where the household is listed in a separate parallel database to HMIS and CES referral involves only entering with an "anonymous" client ID.

1C-5d.	Used De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below:	
	1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC used for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and
	2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. All statewide Domestic Violence providers are mandated by Pennsylvania Coalition on Domestic Violence (PCADV) and Pennsylvania Coalition on Rape (PCAR) to use one database only, known as PA SocialServ. The YWCA is the state designated provider of DV, SA, and Human Trafficking services in this jurisdiction and is also the CoC's primary provider of housing and services dedicated to VAWA victims. The YWCA uses PA SocialServ for victims fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assualt, stalking and human trafficking and provides de-indentified aggregate data for the CoC and for their CoC program APRs.

2. The Continuum collects aggregate information and data of de-identified homeless victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking for two purposes: to assist in developing and creating new resources for homeless VAWA victims; and also to ascertain homeless trends and evaluate effectiveness of services and programs for homeless victims. Socialserv has the ability to provide comparable database aggregate information to complement the CoC HMIS.

-The YWCA provides the CoC with PIT data that is de-identified through the use of unique but anonymous identifier to help the CoC with 1, 5 and 10 year analysis of domestic violence homeless trends and services.
-The YWCA provides aggregate de-identified data for APR and other requested reports for evaluation and eventually for project ranking in the CoC competition.
-The YWCA provides anonymous referral data for CES, and the CoC can calculate the length of time and housing availability from those referrals.

As a result, such tailored client and service aggregate data helped the CoC start projects that meet gaps of service for runaway homeless youth and human trafficking and DV bonus application. Mentioned earlier the CoC used that data and LOT to address and change CES referral flow protocols to shorten length of time, make more safe facility program beds opening available to meet growing needed of new entries and for Emergency Transfer needs.

1C-5e.	Implemented Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:
1.	whether your CoC has policies and procedures that include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer; and
3.	the process your CoC uses to respond to individuals' and families' emergency transfer requests.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Yes, the CoC has policies and procedures that include Emergency Transfer Plan. The ETP is part of policies shared with all coordinated entry referrals regardless of victim status upon the completion of Coordinated Entry Assessment.

2. The ETP process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer is as follows:
o To request an emergency transfer, the participant shall notify their housing provider/ case manager and submit an oral or written request for a transfer to that provider.
o A self-certification of the abuse is enough to be approved, they do not need to provide "Proof"
o A statement expressing that the participant reasonably believes that there is a threat of imminent harm from further violence if the participant were to remain in
the same dwelling unit assisted under the provider's program; OR
o A statement that the participant, or a member of the participant's household was a sexual assault victim and that the sexual assault occurred on the premises during the 90-calendar-day period preceding the participant's request for an emergency transfer.
o This process also covers transfer for an affiliated individual.

3. The ETP process for CoC agencies responding to individual and family emergency transfer requests is as follows:

The agency hosting the petitioning household, or another agency where a safer opening is available and transfer is an eligible activity, will process the request with the following principles at hand:
o Confidentiality- All information submitted to request an emergency transfer must remain confidential. Any release of information must be consented to in writing and with time-release
o Maintain confidentiality of the new address- Address confidentiality Program- Visit the PA Office of Victim Advocate to learn more or call them (Monday - Friday) at 800-563-6399
o Simultaneously refer to your local Domestic Violence Agency for support and to help safety plan; YWCA 24-hour hotline: 1-800-654-1211 or national hotline
o Consider timeline to the transfer- What is reasonable?
o Housing is limited but maintaining safety should be a high priority
o Does the household stay in the same funded program and move to a different unit? Or will safety need to be maintained by being funded through another agency of similar subsidy?
o If the risk is where even the YWCA's shelter is not appropriate, the ETP involves transfer to safe houses and shelters in other counties.

| | 1C-5f. | Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. | |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.e. | |

| | | Describe in the field below how your CoC: | |
| | 1. | ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area; and | |

	2.	proactively identifies systemic barriers within your homeless response system that create barriers to safely house and provide services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CACH's CES process is that the first question sensitively assesses if the participant is a victim of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, stalking or human trafficking. CES assessment administrators are instructed how to respond sensitively and privately (including away from all family members including children) if any indication is given that the participant is a victim.

The CES process for victim referral is to first recommend the YWCA which provides DV shelter, Department of Justice funded DV transitional housing and CoC rapid rehousing for victims. The YWCA also has Department of Justice Victim Legal Services and Office of Victims and Crime Human Trafficking supportive services in addition to its comprehensive victim services.

Furthermore, the YWCA is the CoC largest provider of homeless housing and services including ESG emergency shelter, transitional housing, and CoC permanent housing.

Survivors, however, can enter ANY homeless program as our CES is voluntary i.e. based on client choice.

2. Proactively, the CoC CES changed its referral pathflow to reduce length of time and increase availability of housing for victims. This was based on data analysis of victims LOT and housing availability. CoC housing programs that are at the YWCA's secure facility which may be eligible for other homeless populations will receive victims experiencing homelessness fleeing domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, stalking and also human trafficking as first priority.

	1C-5g.	Ensuring Survivors With a Range of Lived Expertise Participate in Developing CoC-Wide Policy and Programs.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC:
	1.	ensured survivors with a range of lived expertise are involved in the development of your CoC-wide policy and programs; and
	2.	accounted for the unique and complex needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC's contract for its administrative services has a survivor with lived experience. Coordinated Entry staff also has a survivor.
A. Role and Expertise:
  i.. CACH's administrative staff is integrated into policy and sub-committee meetings and also brings confidentiality and victim perspective into HMIS as the HMIS lead entity. In addition most member of CACH's contracted administrative
    services are VAWA trained having worked at VAWA policy or service agencies in the past.
  ii. CES policy, execution, quality control and individual cases are governed by survivor sensitivity, input and perspective as a result of lived experience key staff. Additionally, the Coordinated Entry committee is chaired by someone who is
    VAWA trained having served with the State Coalition on Domestic Violence and at the YWCA, the victim services provider.
B.. How the CoC safely engages, recruits, trains, and compensates survivors::
The CoC is crafting policy for recruiting survivors safely to serve on its board and subcommittees including current survivors whose safety and confidentiality will be maintained, and persons with lived experience who are safely removed from imminent danger.
C. Intentional and Meaningful Integration of survivor's feedback: The CoC has this year heard directly from survivors who struggle with the process of finding housing and lack of shelter, and have brought suggestions and solutions to the board.

2. The CoC's CES assessment included confidentiality preferences such as anonymous pseudonyms, gender preferences, and protocols for communicating safely away from family members. All survivors are given consent to enter or refuse to enter personal and identifying information in any system while not being denied services. Warm hand offs to the YWCA for victim services is part of the triage of Coordinated Entry for survivors.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+--Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy--Updating Policies--Assisting Providers--Evaluating Compliance--Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:	

	1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
	2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
	3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
	4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC adopted the resolution for "Equal Access Final Rule" and provides annual training with providers. That is the venue where stakeholder feedback, including from LGBTQ individuals and agenices who are members of the CoC, is received and where any policy updates as necessary would occur and be presented to the CoC board for ratification.

2. Following the Equal Access Final Rule is not an issue for our scattered site rental or leasing assistance programs since they are not congregate settings and present little challenge that usually occur around shared amenities in congregate settings. Accepting households following the equal access final rule is also not an issue for CoC and ESG funded congregate programs and shelters as they have adopted the CACH wide resolution and policy. However, CACH had to host honest project level discussion to help CoC congregate shelters and facilities address accommodating without segregation as this can be be challenging in congregate settings. At CACH Service Delivery Committee congregate shelters and existing TH discussed ways to ensure policy of assignment and use of common facilities are done without segregation or stigmatization, for instance by first assigning available private rooms to ANY single irrespective of gender identity where possible, and how to address discretely but affirmatively any remonstrations that may come up by residents over shared amenities like a bathroom but which is still used one at a time in private.

3. Our CoC relies on monitoring of ESG equal access mandate by ESG recipients for congregate shelters sub recipients. We require all CoC programs to have Equal Access policy. Our CES policy requires programs to provide reason for any rejection of a referral and if a household that may face access issues was rejected, the CES manager is aware of their status and will investigate further if the reason given sounds suspect or wholly is not about eligibility.

4. If an agency is not following the equal access rule, CACH will discuss it at the board level and designate a representative(s) to address the reasons with that agency, and assist the agency with coming back to compliance. Where compliance is not the end goal or result, the agency will be reported to HUD for monitoring of their contract, and is ranked related to serving without discrimination, and runs the risk not being accepted for renewal.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with–if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:	

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2022 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Harrisburg Housing Authority	35%	Yes-Both	No
Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	36%	Yes-Both	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Describe in the field below:	
1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference–if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or	
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The two PHAs in CoC PA501 are Dauphin County Housing Authority and Harrisburg Housing Authority. Both have a homeless priority in place for HCV and for Public Housing.

CACH has worked with both Dauphin County Housing Authority and Harrisburg Housing Authority to utilize HMIS as a way for verifying those applying for homeless priority. In its application process, Harrisburg Housing Authority uses HMIS for current enrollment to verify applicants that claim homeless priority which is literal homelessness. Dauphin County Housing Authority worked with CACH to create a streamlined online application process that uses HMIS identified for Dauphin County's homeless priority.

Additionally, CACH partners with Dauphin County Housing Authority apply for EHV vouchers and with the Harrisburg Housing Authority for Tenant Protection Vouchers for the Foster Youth to Independence Initiative and for Stability Vouchers.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:	

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners		Yes
2.	PHA		Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments		Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs		Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
5.			

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:	

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	Yes
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	Yes
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation Single Residency Occupancy - Project Based	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	811 MV, EHV, TPV for Youth Aging out of Foster Care, Housing Stability Vouchers

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
	If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.	

PHA
Housing Authority...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2023 CoC Program Competition.	12
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2023 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	12
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	how your CoC evaluates every project--where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application--to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
	2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
	3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our ranking evaluation tool looks up the answers in each project application to see if Housing First is checked. In addition we have a separate questionnaire for each project that re-asks by rewording the various factors of housing first to ensure that the answers are accurate. Points are then given based on the confluence of these two checks.

2. The factors that we analyze and re-ask in our questionnaire are: Does the project...
  a. follow a Housing first approach according to HUD guidelines?
  b. screen out for reasons related to income? (which is different than the question in the HUD application which is more subjectively asks if they screen those with "little" or no income
  c. screen out for criminal record (except state mandated restrictions)
  d. screen out for domestic violence
  e. terminate due failure to participate in supportive services, failure to make progress on a service plan, or improve income, domestic violence?

3. The CoC relies on Coordinated Entry system of referral to ensure that referrals to and being received by CoC projects are not screened out for the following reasons and preconditions:
  • low or no Income
  • current or past substance use
  • criminal record except for applicable statutory reasons
  • having been or currently a victim of DV/VAWA
  • significant Health/Behavioral challenges

The CES process prioritizes based on history of homelessness and vulnerability and refer households with multiple barriers to applicable openings in CoC PSH, RRH, Joint RRH-TH and also TH not funded by CoC. If an applicable program with an opening does not accept a referral for other than participant's choice and withdrawal, a written provider referral declination has to be provided with appropriate reason that should not involve the above factors if the project committed to follow a housing first model. In other words, the CES process alerts CACH throughout the year for every application to housing whether households are being denied access for participation requirements or preconditions that is in conflict with a program's housing first commitment.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Scope.		
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.		

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;
	2.	whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;

	3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and
	4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CoC homeless street outreach (S.O.) is conducted by the agencies below:
   a. CCU – Unsheltered and CE Outreach
   b. County Mental Health and Crisis Outreach
   c. County Drug and Alcohol Outreach
   d. Valley Youth House Runaway and Homeless Youth Outreach
   e. Homeless Veterans SSVF and DOL-HVRP Outreach
   f. Pinnacle Health Medical Outreach
   g. Dauphin County Mobile Library for hotspot and device charging
   h. Faith-based organizations with meals and basic provisions
   i. Drop-In and Overnight Shelter Outreach Staff
   j. CACH staff for S.O. Coordination and direct outreach

The breadth of S.O. agencies ensure that all populations are identified and engaged. CACH coordinated weekly joint outreach that is widely promoted in and by the unsheltered community so that everyone is identified and served. These coordinated outreach efforts are directly at encampments, and outreach teams frequent trails and even abandoned buildings were unsheltered households may be residing.

2. The outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC geographic area. S.O.visit encampments, abandoned buildings, soup kitchens, Drop-In Center, seasonal overnight shelter throughout its jurisdiction. In rural areas teams go to campgrounds, shopping center lots, and canoe to remote river islands.

3. S.O. staff from the various agencies conduct their outreach several times a week all year round. Combined multidisciplinary outreach involving all agencies is conducted weekly. Outreach teams conduct activities on weekends and nights

4. To reach all including those least likely to request assistance, CACH tailored SO to provide weekly joint outreach at encampments by all S.O. agencies that combine basic needs and multidisciplinary services in tandem. The joint outreach's regularity, relational style and comprehensive service engendered trust and high engagement. S.O. encourage persons to utilize the low barrier Drop-In Center and overnight shelters so that recalcitrant participants may engage services at their own pace with a daily roof over their head. S.O teams are CE access entry points since they best engage those least likely to seek assistance or housing. Housing and supportive services opportunities that outreach communicates are written on posters in 5th grade reading level, short and to the point, with maps and illustration where possible, and in both English and Spanish. Posters are placed at encampments, at the drop in day center and in person.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

	Your CoC's Strategies	Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	Yes
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	Yes
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	Yes
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	Yes
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		
	Bail Review process, Team MSA and Re-entry Program to ensure persons who are homeless ton not wait in jail due to lack of home plan.	Yes	Yes

1D-5.		Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.l.	

		HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2022	2023
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	105	114

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, SSDI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and	
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The County Assistance Office Director attends CACH's weekly coordinated response calls to provide current information on mainstream benefits and access. CACH addresses mainstream benefits at its Service Delivery Committee where representatives from County Assistance Office, County Mental Health are present or invited to provide information and solutions to access issues.

2. Pinnacle Health UMC, one the major medical services and hospital provider in the CoC jurisdiction attends the weekly calls, and Prevention Committee. UPMC provides street outreach nurses as part of medical home enrollment, urgent care diversion plans, and health outreach for homeless households. The Continuum also coordinates with Hamilton Health, the region's Federally Qualified Health Center for enrollment into Medicaid or private insurance and receiving primary healthcare services. Lebanon Valley VA Medical Center enrolls eligible Veterans experiencing homelessness into VA healthcare and benefits through its Healthcare for Homeless program and outreach. These services are made known to project staff through case managers group conferencing meetings which are part of our service delivery committee and facilitates coordination.

3. A SOAR process and dedicated case manager has been long established and is part of the base case management unit (CMU). Additionally, agencies' staff have also been trained on the SOAR tenets such as correctly filling out SSI/SSDI applications and gathering extensive medical history that is critical to successful expeditious applications. Medical efforts such as UPMC, Hamilton Health FQHC as mentioned in #2, and also a long established homeless medical clinic at our only men's shelter has intentionally, proactively, and successfully helped with creating that critical medical history for unsheltered and chronically homeless households that they have connected with over time.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

ESG-CV grants provided non-congregate shelter through hotels which to date have housed over 350 persons. Our CoC also expanded seasonal overnight shelter options and capacity. All of this allowed existing shelters and congregate facilities to implement COVID-19 safety protocols and safe social distancing.

The CoC developed protocols of referral and triage that will utilize hotels for persons who are infected and those who are highly vulnerable to infections diseases like COVID-19, and mapped out the funding sources that can be used in the various scenarios.

The CoC has engaged the City and the County in utilizing HOME CV and ARPA funds to expand non-congregate settings. The City has also funded extra hotel vouchers for unsheltered households experiencing homelessness using CDBG funds.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies–Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.CACH created a comprehensive handbook of emergency protocols outlining lines of communication, response and information sharing; points of contact and entities responsible for shelter, transport, health treatment, sources for relevant emergency information including local emergency management, PEMA, FEMA, CDC, HUD, and local Public Health Agencies, and other emergency readiness provisions.

CACH created a careful and actionable process for congregate shelter to use non-congregate shelter options such as hotels, outlining when and how to assess, triage, referral for health treatment, transport, and for return to congregate shelter. Networks with multiple hotels have been created and can be used in the future. Protocols for reaching, informing, and meeting basic needs for those who are unsheltered during the emergency were created.

The Continuum established strong relationships with municipal, county state and federal entities responsible for emergencies that may affect households experiencing homelessness and holds weekly collaboration. meetings. Similarly, networks with local, regional and state emergency volunteer organizations, e.g., Volunteer Organizations Active in Disasters (VOAD) have been established.

CACH created a detailed flow chart of how to utilize and navigate multiple emergency funding sources such as from FEMA Public Assistance, HUD, HHS to provide for non-congregate sheltering and its supportive services.

2. For encampments, CACH arranged porta-potties, placed spigots on fire hydrants for water, and arranged weekly trash removal. Showers were offered at additional sites conducted with COVID-19 safety protocols of mask, distancing, and cleaning. Pinnacle Health nurses were part of the outreach to treat and assess persons for COVID symptoms and other health issues. CACH supplied persons who were unsheltered with masks and gloves and with simple written instruction in Spanish and English.

For congregate shelters, to allow for social distancing hotels are utilized, and protocols for testing, triage, quarantine, transportation created.

CACH had a vaccination taskforce of stakeholders including Dauphin County Human Services, and HealthCare providers like UPMC and Hamilton Health, to determine what sites and how best to vaccinate households in shelters and encampments. The culmination of efforts resulted in a mobile vaccination clinic that scheduled vaccination stops at every major homeless encampment, drop in center.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	shared information related to public health measures and homelessness, and	
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CACH holds weekly COVID-19 coordinated response calls for all providers which are attended by healthcare agencies. UPMC is a major mainstream health provider whose medical outreach nurses join with the weekly outreach and at Drop-in center to help reduce the spread of COVID-19. UPMC assisted and vetted the CoC process flow for triage and response of symptomatic and at risk populations in shelters and unsheltered. They coordinated resources and response with shelters or providers when such scenarios occurred to mitigate any spread of COVID-19. UPMC and Hamilton Health (FQHC) organized vaccination for persons and staff in shelters to decrease the risk of spread.

2. At all outreach venues hand sanitizers and masks were available and written protocols following CDC guidelines were required to be followed by participants and providers alike. CACH utilized all resources applicable whether ESG-CV, FEMA or local funds, to provide the needed safety equipment for shelters and outreach venues and staff, and directly for those who are experiencing homelessness. Providers simply submit a emergency response need form to CACH for needed or unattainable items, and CACH process that through county and city emergency management protocol. Such needs as they emerge and the protocols created are vetted through communication between CACH and UPMC primarily.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Continuum's Coordinated Entry System reaches 100 percent of the CoC's jurisdiction. Outreach teams who cover all of the geographic area as access sites for enrollment and assessment. In addition, CES uses 211 for the broadest reach and off hour access. The CES also has a HUB main office site, CCU HELP Ministries, where households can contact CE assessment staff. CCU HELP is also office that issues vouchers for emergency shelter and emergency rental assistance for applicants who are not literally homeless.

2. The Continuum's CES utilizes a standard assessment and protocol throughout its stages of triage, engagement, and referral. The process follows a standard workflow of triage to either diversion or to assessment for housing referral and uses the same assessment for CES housing referral at every outreach access site and HUB. The information sources for our assessment are fields in HMIS, VI-SPDAT, and also case conferencing between referral sources, CES referral manager, and provider.

3. The CES regularly uses feedback from both projects and participants being referred. For projects CES has annual monitoring meetings for feedback to and from the project to ensure compliance and to improve processes. CES committee also meets monthly where they review HMIS reports that tracks the length of time from entry to referral to placement at the program level to ensure that referral and placement continues to be timely. For participants, feedback comes through their referral source, through communication with the CES Coordinator who contacts them for placement, and through formal written process and procedures for grievances or request.

	1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
	1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
	2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;
	3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and
	4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CES ranking and priority prioritizes vulnerable populations who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance predominantly Chronic Homeless (CH) and unsheltered but not CH. They are the first to be referred to multiple and appropriate housing pathways. In the absence of special outreach, CES is advertised at places frequented by the population such as day centers, to start by simply calling 211.

2. Vulnerable populations most in need are prioritized first in CES for applicable housing openings. The process prioritizes first by length of homelessness and vulnerability using SPDAT housing and vulnerability scores. Therefore, those who are homeless the longest and most vulnerable are the first to be referred in the order of their score to eligible housing openings. VAWA and DV victims are sensitively identified as part of the assessment's initial screening, and are immediately, if they so desire, referred to victims' services and DV shelter as part of initial CES protocol.

3. Street Outreach workers from the HELP office trained in administering CES assessment go on daily outreach and weekly joint multidisciplinary outreach to engage those most in need of assistance. Valley Youth House, an S.O provider for House homeless and at-risk youth is also a CES access point, as are Veterans SSVF outreach agencies. Together, unsheltered CH, runaway and homeless youth, and Veterans all have CES staff coming to them and not the other way around. CES and outreach staff also case conference regularly to solve any difficulty with placements and ensure that vacancies are quickly and appropriately filled consistent with the preference of those being referred.

Lastyear CES analyzed data and noted that there was not enough beds for VAWA referrals. The safest and quickest flow of referral for VAWA related victims experiencing homelessness was to make VAWA a priority for all the YWCA congregate housing programs thereby opening more referral beds for victims through that prioritization change. These are some of the ways the CES process assist housing people in a timely manner consistent with their situation and their preference.

4. The CES uses as few questions as possible and little duplication of questions from one stage to the next of its processes. The fact that CES and referral is integrated into HMIS allows for common information to flow without undue repetition and burden. Questions are asked with permission and in simplicity by CES staff,

| | 1D-9b. | Informing Program Participant about Rights and Remedies through Centralized or Coordinated Entry–Reporting Violations. | |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.p. | |

		Describe in the field below how your CoC through its centralized or coordinated entry:
	1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;
	2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and
	3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CES access points include street outreach teams throughout the county including rural areas, and communicate the housing and services that CES would connect persons experiencing homelessness with.

2. During Coordinated Entry referral to the pertinent housing and services, participants are provided with their rights and remedies under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights including grievance processes and contacts, in a handbook that is given by the programs which participants receive upon entry. Confirmation of this signed and dated when participants are presented with such information.

3. Inconsistencies, conditions, and actions that impede fair housing choice are presented to the Coordinated Entry Committee to ensure policies and procedures are being followed according to all local fair housing rights.

1D-10.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness–Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	09/15/2022

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities–Identified Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Data Collection and Needs Assessment Committee analyzed racial and ethnic composition data from:

I. Stella PM
   a. Days homeless
   b. Exits to Permanent Housing
   c. Returns to homelessness.
   d. housing type e.g. PH, SH, Joint TH & RRH, etc..
II. Coordinated Entry data
   a. CES enrollment
   b. Access to Housing and Services
III. Each Housing Project's Annual Progress Report.

Data was analysed for disparities is enrollment and provision of housing and services, and submitted in a graphed report to CACH.

2. CACH racial equity analysis looked at CE data in HMIS as well as racial data provided by SAGE and in APRs.. The data shows that racial inequity is well checked as minorities benefit twice as much with CoC homeless services and housing outcomes than non-minority.

Non-minority (White, non-Hispanic/non-Latino) comprised only 36% of Coordinated Entry assessments. In other words, 64% of CE assessments were administered to minorities.

Therefore, the percentage of CES referrals to housing also mirrored the larger composition of minorities. 69% of minorities were referred to PSH; 93% to Joint TH/RRH, and 57% to SH.

Nonminority (white, non-Hispanic/non-Latino) also remained homeless 35% longer than other racial/ethnic group. When compared to Dauphin County which is 70% white, non-Hispanic/non-Latino, racial and ethnic minorities are far more represented in homeless CES outreach and housing referrals, but comparable to the minority composition of the City of Harrisburg which is 65%.

Two thirds (2/3) of all CoC services and outcomes benefit racial and ethnic minorities demonstrating that the CoC's outreach, CES, housing and services is equitable and actually overrepresented for those who are not of majority status.

1D-10b.	Implemented Strategies that Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities. | |

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes

5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	No
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	No
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-10c.	Implemented Strategies that Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC is taking to address the disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CACH racial equity analysis looked at CE data in HMIS as well as racial data provided by SAGE. The data shows that racial inequity is well checked as minorities benefit twice as much with CoC homeless services and housing outcomes than non-minority.

Therefore, while continuing to ensure equity in homeless service and beneficiary rates for minorities, the CoC is addressing the stark racial disproportionality in becoming and experiencing homelessness. The CoC's Prevention Committee is addressing racial inequity in the causes of homelessness that are disparately affecting minorities: poverty, mental health and substance use, incarceration, disability, and systemic issues. The Prevention and Housing Committees are looking at health, mental health, criminal justice diversion, anti-poverty initiatives, affordable housing, employment and training solutions specific to populations and zip-codes overrepresented by minorities.

1D-10d.	Tracked Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and
2.	the tools your CoC uses.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC strives every other year if not annually to conduct racial and ethnic disparity analysis of length of time of homelessness, enrollment in types of housing, returns to homelessness, coordinated entry outreach and enrollment, and access to referrals of housing and homeless services.

2. The analysis utilize STELLA data, CES data, and project APRs as its tools for analysing racial disparity. This can then be compared to previous racial analysis to see what trends if any are present.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking–CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has recruited and requires at least one board member to represent a person with lived experience of homelessness. For project evaluation, needs for new projects and services, the CoC has actively recruited homeless participants from encampments as well as transition age youth from transitional living programs for homeless youth. The CoC applied for and successfully received the Supplementary NOFO for unsheltered households in 2023 and had significant involvement with homeless participants and advisory group.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

You must upload the Letter Signed by Working Group attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	1	3
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	1	3
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	2	4
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	1	0

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC agencies, especially but not only, street outreach programs recruit persons with lived experience to be part of service delivery staff as they are the best to reach households experiencing homelessness. From there, persons also promote and learn other positions within the organization.

In addition, the CoC provides access to employment opportunities and training through PA Careerlink directly serving at the homeless drop in center, and through specialized programs such as the evidenced base Supported Employment program at the YWCA. That also includes benefits coordinator. There are also two (2) US Department of Labor Homeless Veterans Reintegration Programs (HVRP) that connect homeless veterans with employment and training that are our CoC.providers. The various job opportunities provided through these services includes paid internships and apprenticeship opportunities, quick skills training such as forklift training, and opportunities for CDL.

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC routinely gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2.	how your CoC routinely gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG Programs; and
3.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. For those who experience unsheltered homelessness, CACH's coordinated Street Outreach teams have built long term trust and relationship so that households and individuals have felt able to express their concerns, give honest feedback on housing and outreach services, and their needs for assistance routinely at the regular outreach to the staff and to the coordinator. The CoC has approved a stipend to engage persons who experience homelessness as unsheltered to serve on a lived experience advisory group.

2. For CoC and ESG housing projects, each program has a resident feedback and grievance policy through which they can provide feedback or express their concern. For Coordinated entry and referral to such housing programs, feedback is given both formally through a written process which is reviewed by the CES committee, and informally, by conversing with the Coordinated Entry manager and referral staff. Both are valid and routine ways that feedback has been garnered and brought to appropriate committees or oversight bodies, to address and meet needs.

This year the CoC created a Lived Experience advisory group to be able to ask and involve on any and all matters, and is evolving ways to provide more decision making authority over appropriate and pertinent matters that relate to their own situation or to homeless provision in general.

3. Street Outreach has received many realtime challenges feedback about services provided, needed, or terminated from persons experiencing homelessness, and have acted on that feedback to address those challenges such as interruptions to potable water, showers, provide for growing sanitation concerns, address safety, drug overdose, communal living concerns, and empower participants to own their solutions for current concerns and for housing opportunities.
As mentioned the Coordinated Entry Committee took feedback from domestic violence survivors who were not able to find enough housing opportunities and changed prioritization for all the housing programs at the YWCA to first serve DV victims since that multifamily complex provided the safest and comprehensive victim housing and services.

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.t.	

	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Redevelopment Authority of the City of Harrisburg (HRA) is a local government agency that is contracted by CACH to executive the primary operations and functions of the Collaborative Agency. The City of Harrisburg and the County of Dauphin both are represented in the board and executive committee of CACH. This municipal involvement allows for feedback, advocacy, and engagement with planning and regulatory bodies for the advancement of policies that will alleviate homelessness and promote housing.

1. In the past 12 months the housing committee
   a. Revisited with state and county representatives on the CACH committee the blueprint commitment plan to promote shared housing which may require regulatory exception or outright change to ordinances governing numbers of unrelated households residing in single family dwellings.
   b. Engaged City Planning officials to craft a special exception for a pilot shared housing experiment with PSH or ESG project based rapid rehousing.

2. HRA has worked with the city, county, and FEMA on a project that will reduce flood insurance premiums and promote affordable housing development by addressing and mitigating areas affected by special hazard flood area designation.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
  - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Enter your CoC's local competition submission deadline date for New Project applicants to submit their project applications to your CoC–meaning the date your CoC published the deadline.	07/28/2023
2.	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications to your CoC's local competition–meaning the date your CoC published the deadline.	07/28/2023

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

	You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:	

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes

	5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes
	6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes

	1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
		NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

	1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	190
	2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	13
	3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

	1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
		NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
	2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
	3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
	4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.Successful permanent housing placement results from Annual Progress Reports is used in ranking in question 10. We recognize that programs that are indefinite although not permanent such as SH, or indefinite and permanent such as PSH, that are disabled and hard to serve populations, that we must also include those who remain housed in that program not just those who exit to PH so as not to penalize.

2.Our CES is based on HMIS tracks how long it takes for persons to be housed from referral to placement. In question 11 on effective use of CES the ranking tool awards points for performance that show reduction in LOT from referral to placement. However, we understand that PSH programs that serve disabled and hard to serve populations like CH are often full and are successful if they have little turn over, so diminemus points are awarded for those programs that could not demonstrate a reduction in that criteria of LOT for those reasons to not penalize them.

3.The answers to 1 and 2 show how in ranking, we accommodated programs that serve hard to serve households that face difficulty with rapid placement or maintaining permanent housing. In addition, most of our programs that do not have a static population are rental assistance rapid rehousing programs which will all receive hardest to serve because they rise to the top of CES. For these programs we do not award points on progress of reduction in length of time rather than on reaching a specified LOT benchmark.

4.To balance projects that serve the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels up to 35 points are given to projects that target to serve HUD and local priority populations that have special challenges and disabilities. Additionally, up to 10 points are given to renewals for the actual % of inventory that vulnerable and hard to serve populations in the annual progress reports, or if a new project propose to serve. Those populations and method is found in Question 3 and 9 of the Ranking tool, which uses APR questions 5.a, 26b, 11, and 15 and project submission questions 3b,

5a,b.The CoC considers SH critical for its continuum serving the hardest and most underserved CH males often unsheltered who have a mental health diagnosis. Getting unsheltered MH CH participants to commit to SH is difficult and the cost per capita of SH as a facility (of indefinite stay) compared to (temporary) rental assistance of RRH is difficult, and the ranking tool that into account.

	1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
		NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	how your CoC used the input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;
	2.	how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and

	3.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC Review and Ranking Committee has African American representatives and other minorities and persons with lived experience, to bring over represented population input and perspective to the process. Most of the ranking and review panel members are female including single parent representation, which is a significant homeless population representation. This ensures that our ranking tool is sensitive to overrepresented racial and gender categories with direct perspective. The tool awards each project points based on the percentage of households served that is over and above the percentage of minorities in the county (question 14 of the ranking tool). The tool also awards projects points based on serving parenting families (question 3 of the ranking tool).

2 The CoC Review and Ranking Committee that reviews, selects, and ranks includes minority representatives (28%) and women (70%).

3. As mentioned in 1.D, two thirds (2/3) of all CoC services and outcomes benefit racial and ethnic minorities which demonstrate that the CoC's outreach, CES, housing and services are equitable, and actually overrepresented in minority status. Therefore no barriers to participation by race was identified in outreach, enrollment and placement time and outcomes. The main ranking feature was to award points based on inclusion and % of minorities served compared to the local geographic representation, which is found in question 14 of the tool.

	1E-4.	Reallocation–Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
		NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
	2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;
	3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
	4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.CACH's written reallocation policy, which is annually reviewed and signed by the board states how projects would be relocated:
   • Reallocation may be voluntarily initiated by a funded agency, or
   • Initiated by the CoC Leadership and Review & Ranking Committee composed of non CoC funded agencies
   • Reallocate renewal funding for new projects through a competitive process to maximize services, effectively compete, and/or to •Address underperforming projects based on performance, fiduciary accountability and strategic value
   • Reallocated amounts will be sufficient to fund effective new programming
   • HUD determines which types of new projects can be reallocated in the NOFA,
   • Decisions will be made during the process of preparing an application for funds.
   • The reallocation process will be transparent, equitable, and data-driven with an emphasis on local needs.
    • All reallocation decisions must be approved through a vote by the Review and Ranking Committee.

Low performing projects are defined by:
   • Project Capacity: loss of capacity which significantly impact project operations and performance.
   • Financial Management: audit findings; outstanding, misuse or untimely drawdown of funds; unspent funds, untimely expenditures.
   • Performance Outcomes: Poor HMIS data quality, unmet APR performance, rank low in the Project Review/Ranking.
   • Lack of Compliance: history of serving ineligible persons, ineligible expenditures, lack compliance with HUD fair housing or equal access rule, fail to fully cooperate in the coordinated entry process.
   • Local Need: when a local need is better met through another project.

2. The committee interviewed and discussed concerns with an agency whose projects did not fully draw down its allocation. That is in keeping with looking at factors that may lead to reallocation. The agency provided situational circumstances that prove solvable and will be monitored for implementation and achievement in the coming year.

3. No reallocation was recommended.

4. No projects were low performing for reallocation and just as important no agency was interested in submitting a new project or expansion that would absorb any re-allocated capacity. No renewal project was determined as less needed.

| 1E-4a. | Reallocation Between FY 2018 and FY 2023. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.f. | |

| | Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2018 and FY 2023? | No |

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2023, 06/27/2023, and 06/28/2023, then you must enter 06/28/2023.	

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2023, 06/27/2023, and 06/28/2023, then you must enter 06/28/2023.	09/13/2023

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project accepted or rejected status; 4. Project Rank–if accepted; 5. Requested Funding Amounts; and 6. Reallocated funds.	Yes

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	09/26/2023

	1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
		NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
		You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	09/26/2023

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Wellsky

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Single CoC

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2023 HIC data into HDX.	04/27/2023

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases;	
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database–compliant with the FY 2022 HMIS Data Standards; and	

3.	state whether your CoC's HMIS is compliant with the FY 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The YWCA of Greater Harrisburg (YWCA) is the state designated provider of services for DV and Sexual Assault, and programs for Human Trafficking. The YWCA is mandated to utilize PCADV (Pennsylvania Coalition on Domestic Violence) and PCAR (Pennsylvania Coalition on Rape) statewide providers' database. The database is selected by the Commonwealth to be a comparable database that is apart from HMIS by VAWA regulation but comparable and up to date with HMIS HUD data standards so as to provide all compatible aggregated data. The YWCA, therefore has no problems in providing deidentified aggregated data for its HUD programs for system performance measures through its Annual Progress Reports and for PIT and HIC, and so that we can safely integrate referrals of victims into our HMIS based CES referral system.

2. The YWCA of Greater Harrisburg, the CoC DV housing and services provider is using a HUD compliant comparable database that is compliant with the FY 2022 HMIS Data Standards,

2. The CoC HMIS is compliant with 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate–Using HIC, HMIS Data–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Enter 2023 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Year-Round Beds in 2023 HIC	Total Year-Round Beds in HIC Operated by Victim Service Providers	Total Year-Round Beds in HMIS	HMIS Year-Round Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	194	0	102	52.58%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	25	0	25	100.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	141	0	136	96.45%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	114	0	89	78.07%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	264	0	209	79.17%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	59	0	37	62.71%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

	For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:
1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.

a. ES is under 85% because the major provider for the only men's shelter, Bethesda Mission, is a faith based mission that refuses to utilize HMIS because HMIS data standards asks more questions than what they are willing to collect. The CoC has approached the agency with multiple options including database interface programming between their current and preferred software, but because the are unwilling or unable to ask all the pertinent universal data and 2022 HMIS standards even programming interchange will not solve the dilemma and there is nothing we can do except we do know that many of their participants do also participate in other HMIS participating programs and their homeless census and data is collected that way. .

Also there are 16 ES beds that are VSP and are participating in a comparable database. They VSP status checkbox in HDX was unchecked by error. That is also true for the only TH beds not listed as part of HMIS   They were 5 beds (4.65% of TH beds) who were VSP beds participating in a comparable database but their VSP status in HDX was unchecked as an omission error.

b. RRH. The only RRH beds not counted as properly entering into our HMIS are three VA SSVF programs. They are HMIS participating through the State's HMIS. All three agencies are being trained to properly enter into our HMIS.

c. PSH is just under 85% only because it includes a PHA housing Non Elderly Disability (NED) program - 811 Mainstream Voucher - that is not just for homeless but serves homeless households who are in our HMIS because they are referred through our CES which is also in HMIS. We will ensure that CES referred 811 MV participants will be enrolled into 811 MV program as an HMIS participating program, especially since they are already in HMIS.

d. OPH includes EHV participants. We will ensure that CES referred EHV participants will be enrolled as an HMIS participating program, especially since they already are in HMIS.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2023 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 28, 2023, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes	

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2023 PIT count.	01/25/2023

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2023 PIT count data in HDX.	04/27/2023

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC's Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process;	
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process; and	
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC's most recent unsheltered PIT count.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC made special effort to ensure youth effective or targeted enumeration for the PIT and conducted a dedicated youth PIT count later in Spring.

1.The primary stakeholders involved in homeless youth census include:
  - Education for Children and Youth Experiencing Homelessness (ECYEH) which is the State appointed Education for Homeless Children and Youth agency that organizes school districts and liaisons;
  - Valley Youth House HHS- Runaway Homeless Youth Agencies Street Outreach;
  - Justice House of Hope which is a community based non-profit outreach to at risk and homeless youth;
  - Thrive Inc., an agency with housing and services to homeless youth, and
  - Dauphin County Children and Youth.

Multiple planning meetings between these stakeholders were conducted by these stakeholders to produce an optimal outreach and census point in time effort. A youth friendly form was created for the survey, and a sku code for ease of access to the form through phones or mobile devices was created.

2. The outreach agencies together with school liaisons, informed by homeless youth they served, ascertained sites, abandoned buildings, and places where youth experiencing homelessness would congregate and be identified. Most would not give personal demographic information but would be counted with anonymous non-duplicate identifiers where that was the case.

3. Agencies involved youth with lived experience for the PIT census..

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2022 and 2023, if applicable;
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2022 and 2023, if applicable; and
3.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
4.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2023.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not Applicable.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;
2.	describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC's PIT outreach survey collects extra data that is not in the PIT HDX submission: a household's stated "reasons for homelessness" and information on those who fall in "Category 2 - at risk of homelessness." The "reasons for homelessness" are then cross referenced with households who answered yes if this was their "first time homeless" to identify the risk factors to be addressed for experiencing homelessness for the first time. The highest reasons for those who experienced homelessness for the first time in this year's point in time outreach surveys was household breakup (19%) followed equally by mental health problems, medical problems and eviction due to non-payment of rent (14%)

2.To prevent first time homelessness, the CoC took the role as the state designated "Local Lead Agency (LLA)" for this jurisdiction. The LLA is charged with locating housing opportunities for all vulnerable populations including those with mental health and other disabilities, and through CACH as the LLA and CoC Lead agency, the County PHA successfully secured 94 811 Mainstream vouchers for persons disabilities and homeless priority. The predominant disability for first time homeless households accepted into the 811 program was mental health. The same PHA was able to secure 30 Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV) which provides flexibility outside of disability requirements for those who are first time homeless without disability but may be unsheltered or vulnerable and high on the CES referral list.

The County Assistance Office director joins our weekly CoC provider calls and coordinates opportunities for mainstream benefits, heating and utility assistance, and employment search opportunities for households receiving public assistance who require employment to prevent homelessness.

The Housing Committee works on affordable housing initiatives encouraging the development of low income affordable housing which decreases rental pressure and saturation allowing for households who are not yet homeless to keep housed.

CCU HELP is the primary ESG homeless prevention agency for our Continuum and the agency as well as the CoC continues to fund raise for more HP funds for rent and utility assistance to prevent eviction.

3.CACH Data Committee surveys causes of first-time homelessness; Prevention Committee addresses solutions for first time homelessness; and Housing Committee oversees 811 and housing solutions.

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

	Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:	

1.	natural disasters?	No
2.	having recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic area?	No

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless–CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC adopted the following goal benchmarks: reduce number of days of stay for those in ES, SH, TH by 10% each year, and decrease the LOT for enrolled unsheltered participants to obtain housing to no more than 30 days. To improve and achieve this the CoC streamlined its Coordinated Entry System (CES) process to quickly inform, refer, triage, and assess all homeless households into the CES prioritized housing referral list. CE intake staff, outreach access, and housing referral list manager rapidly review and ensure placement of all persons in the housing waitlist. The CoC has hosted further training on properly utilizing its CE to prevent any error or drop in referrals. A CES oversight Committee meets monthly to analyze any CES delays and structure improvements needed with monthly reports that tracking CE data and LOT using HMIS. The Data collection committee utilizes STELLA information to analyze populations and housing pathways that have the longest LOT between homelessness and placement. In the previous year, the LOT for DV victims was longer than acceptable in comparison to other sub-populations, and that is because of a lack of crisis and shelter for immediate referral. The CES committee responded in realtime to change prioritization policy for Joint TH-RRH program at the YWCA to make DV clients (which is an appropriate priority in current pathflow for the program) the primary priority in referral for any openings so that there is more housing opportunities for DV survivors available to choose and in a secure facility.

2.CES is in HMIS and can calculate the LOT for each housing program and overall, and which is tracked in monthly reports. STELLA reports give performance data for the previous year on LOT based on multiple parsings such as subpopulations, housing interventions and pathflows, demographics, etc., which is then analyzed by the CES committee.

3.CACH CES Committee, Data Collection and Needs Assessment Committee, the CES program and housing waitlist manager are responsible for this goal.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing–CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	

2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC Blueprint strategy in five years is to increase the rate of PH placement for those in ES, SH, TH, RRH is "3.A) Increase Permanent Affordable Housing Supply (measured by) 3.A.1) Dedicated homeless project, public, and private subsidized/unsubsidized low-income permanent housing inventory increases by 50 beds/vouchers...3.A.2.) Increase subsidized housing for extremely low income (ELI) persons by 20 beds..."

The CoC increased the supply of PH by at least 50. The CoC applied and received 94 811 Mainstream Vouchers to benefit homeless persons and housed several persons out of SH, ES, TH, and also RRH through the Coordinated Entry (CES) process. More RRH beds have been added for Veterans. Each year at least one affordable housing project is created through Low Income Tax Credits, of which the process requires CACH's recommendation as the Local Lead Agency for housing vulnerable populations.

This year the CoC applied and received the SNOFO for unsheltered households experiencing homelessness with severe service needs. This provided 40 more PSH beds to the CoC and also Housing Stability Vouchers, along with supportive services and outreach. The CoC was 1 of only 62 CoCs to be awarded.

2.The strategy for PH retention is to ensure effective supportive services i.e. PSH not just PH placement. PSH applications in the CoC use the housing first approach where supportive services are provided although by consumer choice. The CoC provides training on Motivational Interviewing and relational case management which maximizes client ownership and therefore housing stability and retention. The CoC is also working with PHAs to develop a "move on" administrative plan for those who are formerly homeless in PSH to move to other subsidized housing as their stability increases. The CoC has diverse housing programs including Joint TH and RRH programs created to help special populations that require crisis housing or TH (such as Transitional Age Youth for example) secure and maintain permanent housing through RRH. Furthermore, the CoC applied and received the SNOFO for unsheltered households with long term rapid rehousing in order to provide long term support stability and utilize evidence based practice of Critical Time Intervention. Our CES has a Length of assistance determination (LOAD) scoring matrix to identify and place those needing long term support.

3. The Service Delivery and Housing Committees of CACH are responsible for achieving this goa

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	

In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;

2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.All housing referrals for persons experiencing homelessness happens through the CES process which involves person to person engagement with access site staff; street outreach workers and the CES Program Manager who manages the CES housing list and referrals. All of the above mentioned parties case conference together regarding households in the CES process, so that therefore, those that return to homelessness and are back on the CES waitlist are recognized and readily identified by the CES process and staff.

Unduplicated PIT data over multiple years shows recidivism is greater for unaccompanied males compared to unaccompanied females and less for families. Factors for recidivism are untreated or unrecognized mental health, addiction, and a need for support services that is ongoing.

2.To reduce the rate of recidivism for those more prone i.e., unsheltered, with a mental health or co-occurring disorder, predominantly male, the CoC coordinated outreach engagement that is cross disciplinary and frequent. Such an approach result in housing and service intervention that is holistic, situation specific and participant centric. CES staff try to discern with each returning participant the reasons for recidivism and what the best housing intervention to utilize in keeping with CES protocols.

The next strategy is to obtain more supportive services that is long term or ongoing for PSH, and non-term limited crisis housing also with such supportive services. The CoC increased overnight crisis housing which is part of a daytime drop-in center with engagement and support services. The CoC secured 811 mainstream vouchers, flexible Emergency Housing Vouchers and now also Housing Stability Vouchers, all which have volitional support services i.e. the important supportive component of permanent supportive housing and can assist in reducing recidivism.

3.CACH Service Delivery Committee is responsible for outreach and solutions; Housing for PSH and Crisis housing solutions.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC's primary strategy to help clients increase employment and training. The CoC's primary strategy to help clients increase employment and training opportunity is to expedite participants access to mainstream workforce development resources namely the CareerLink which is the Center for employment and education under PA Workforce Investment Board and WIOA.

In addition, the Continuum has specialized employment and training programs for subpopulations to increase access to employment and employers.
-"Supported Employment" program for those with a single or co-occurring diagnosis
-Homeless Veterans' employment services through a US-DOL Homeless Veteran Reintegration Program (HVRP)
-Workforce development services of non-profits like the Salvation Army. These programs network with employers and attend local job fairs to promote their services to employers or host job fairs on their sites. These programs utilize incentives to overcome barriers to work such as federal bonding for those who have been incarcerated, job coaching and retention case support.

2. The Service Delivery Committee is currently expediting the month-long process of obtaining mainstream workforce development services, supports, and benefits. This includes childcare subsidy needed to find and obtain work; stipends for uniforms, tools or transportation; and cash benefits such as TANF and SNAP so that beneficiaries can focus on work. The committee is coordinating with the local County Assistance Office, advocating for a single point of contact to review homeless applications as needed to ensure there are no unnecessary delays or even to prioritize them if they meet the hardship qualification. The County Office Director attends CACH's weekly continuum wide provider meeting

To assist those with disabilities and fixed income increase their income potential. the YWCA's Supported Employment Program uses evidence-based practice of employment that include Wellness Recovery Action Planning (WRAP), connection with Peer support and an employment first and education first model of engagement.

The YWCA's Benefits Coordinator helps navigate the maximization of work income while maintaining benefits and enrollment in programs like Medical assistance for Workers with Disabilities (MAWD). All PSH case managers are familiar with and refer to OVW for those eligible for assistive equipment, training and placement services.

3. Service Delivery Committee is responsible for this strategy.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

		In the field below:
	1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and
	2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income such as TANF, temporary disability income, and SNAP is to increase access to mainstream programs for workforce readiness and expedite the monthlong process of obtaining those benefits. The County Assistance Office is the primary source for public assistance benefits and SSI and SSDI, the SOAR program which Dauphin County Case Management Unit (CMU) operates. Both attend CACH weekly meeting or outreaches. To increase access the Service Delivery Committee is advocating with the local County Assistance Office for a single point of contact to ensure there are no unnecessary delays and prioritize those that meet the hardship qualification.

In addition,
-Providers use an online access portal (COMPASS) that provides comprehensive and direct application access to all mainstream benefits.
-The CoC historically hosted an annual "Project Homeless Connect" daylong event that directly connected participants to agencies that provided mainstream cash and non-cash benefits and solved access obstacles such as lack of identification and fees. To expand the benefit beyond a day only event, the project was reconstituted into the services at a year-round Drop-In Center.
-The CoC has a SOAR program and dedicated staff to ensure quick and successful application for Social Security Administration benefits; SSI, SSDI. -A certified benefits counselor for persons with disabilities on fixed income to maximize their earnings;
-The CoC has agencies that operate the DOL Homeless Veterans Reintegration Program (HVRP) that connect Veterans with VA benefits including Veteran pension where eligible.

2.The Service Delivery Committee oversees this strategy for increasing nonemployment cash income.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

	If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.	

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	

	Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	

	If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:	
1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and	
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
	If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:
1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.	

Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	No
Applicant Name	
This list contains no items	

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

	1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
	2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
	3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported–please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
	4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
	5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
	6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
		. We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
		. We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
	7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
	8.	Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	09/13/2023
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1D-11a. Letter Signed by Working Group	Yes	Letter Signed by ...	09/19/2023
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing First Eva...	09/13/2023
1E-1. Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline	Yes	Local Competition...	09/13/2023
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	09/13/2023
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	09/13/2023
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	09/13/2023
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	09/13/2023
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Final Project Sco...	09/14/2023
1E-5c. Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		

1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	HDX Competition R...	09/13/2023
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No		
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Letter Signed by Working Group

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing First Evaluation Form (CES Monitoring Form)

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Final Project Scores for All Projects

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: HDX Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	08/24/2023
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/07/2023
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/18/2023
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/18/2023
1E. Project Review/Ranking	09/13/2023
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/08/2023
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/11/2023
2C. System Performance	09/18/2023
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/08/2023
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/08/2023
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/08/2023

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	09/08/2023
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Harrisburg Housing Authority

Admissions and Continued Occupancy Policy (ACOP)

An HHA Guide to the Rules and Regulations regarding Applying for Admissions and Living in HHA's Public Housing Units.

I.   Preference System

A.   HHA has adopted a system of local preferences for selection of families admitted to the public housing program. The system of selection preferences must be based on local housing needs and priorities as determined by HHA. In determining such needs and priorities, HHA used generally accepted data sources. Such sources included public comment on the Agency Plan and the Consolidated Plan for the City of Harrisburg.

Such preference policies and procedures will:

1.   Be duly adopted;
2.   Be made known to the applicant when they initially apply for public housing through written documentation. Applicants will be given an opportunity to provide written documentation that they qualify for such preference(s);
3.   Be publicized by posting copies in each office where applications are received, and by furnishing copies to applicants or residents upon request;
4.   Be specific and describe in detail the criteria, standards and preferences to be applied; and,
5.   Provide for verification and documentation of information relevant to the acceptance or rejection of an applicant.

B.   HHA will select and house residents in accordance with the following preferences and priorities, in the order listed.

1.   Limitations on Admission

2.   HHA may limit the number of applicants that qualify for:
a.   local preferences; and
b. types of communities and units available.
3.   Occupancy Standards (limitation on the minimum and maximum number of household members permitted to live in dwelling units of specified sizes), in accordance with Section 11 of this policy.

C.   The implementation of local preferences is subject to HUD requirements concerning income targeting, de-concentration, and income mixing and selection preferences for developments designated exclusively for elderly population developments.

D.   How Preferences Are Calculated

The preferences listed below will have the stated weight and are all equally important. A total of 45 cumulative points are available for applicants families for the areas in which they qualify.

E.   Local Preferences – 10 Point Value Each

The local preferences listed below will have the same relative weight and importance, and can equal 40 cumulative points total. Applicants qualifying for the below preferences will have 10 points added as ranking preferences for each of the items listed below in which applicants provide written verification they qualify for. In order to qualify for the preference, the applicant family must include the person(s) with the circumstance. For example: the veteran must be part of the applicant family, etc.

1.   Involuntary displacement;
2.   Families that include victims of domestic violence.
3.   Homeless;
4.   Veterans or immediate families of veterans;

F.   Ranking Preferences – 5 Point Value Each

The ranking preference listed below will entitle the applicant family to 5 points total.

1.   Residents of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

G.   Elderly/Disabled Preferences

- ✔ not later than five (5) business days after HHA makes a final decision to admit the individual to the public housing program; or
- ✔ if HHA denies the admission of a person as a household member, in a timely manner after the date on which the statute of limitations for the commencement of a civil action based on that denial has expired without the filing of the civil action or until final disposition of such litigation.

XII. Verification of Preferences

Verification of family/individual preferences is normally performed at the time of application and used to place them on the waiting list and any time a change is reported to HHA. As such, the family's placement on the waiting list may require adjustment upward or downward based on their circumstances. Similarly, a family originally having no preference status at the time of initial application may gain a preference while waiting which would change their placement on the waiting list. For example, a family claiming homeless status at the time of application, may find adequate housing while waiting thus losing the preference status..

A. Former Federal Preferences

PHAs may adopt the verification policies applicable to the former Federal Preferences if they choose to retain one (1) or more of them as their local preferences.

B. Local Preferences

1. Involuntary Displacement (10 Points) - Verification is established by the following documentation:
    a. Certification from a unit or agency of government that an applicant family has been, or will be displaced as a result of a natural disaster.
    b. Certification from a unit or agency of government that an applicant has been, or will be displaced by government action.
    c. Certification from an owner or owner's agent that an applicant had to, or will have to vacate a unit by a certain date because of an owner action specified in the regulations.
    d. Certification of displacement because of domestic violence from the local police department, social services agency, or court of competent jurisdiction, or a clergyman, physician, or public or private facility that provides shelter or counseling to the victims of domestic violence. The applicant family will be required to certify that the abuser will not return to the residence without prior written permission of HHA.
    e. Certification of displacement to avoid reprisals, if a family member is providing information to a law enforcement agency. The law enforcement agency must conduct a threat assessment and a supervisor must provide a written recommendation that the family be re-housed to avoid or minimize risk.
    f. Certification of displacement by hate crimes, if one (1) or more family members is a victim of a hate crime and law enforcement officials and/or appropriate social service agencies show that the hate crime occurred recently or is of a continuing nature. A hate crime is actual or threatened physical violence or intimidation that is directed against a person or his/her property and that is based on the person's race, color, religion, sex, natural origin, disability, or familial status.
        The owner and/or the appropriate social services agency can provide certification of displacement due to inaccessibility of the unit. In this case, the owner must be able to demonstrate that he/she is not required by law to make the unit accessible.
    g. Certification by HUD or other governmental or relocation agency regarding the displacement of families in a multi-family housing development that is subject to disposition.
2. City of Harrisburg Residency (5 Points) – Verification is established by a non-expired State or Federal Government issued photo identification and at least two (2) the following documentation:
    a. Utility bills in the name of the family;
    b. Telephone/cable bills;
    c. Verification from schools where the children are enrolled;
    d. Rental or lease agreement;
    e. Landlord statements;
    f. Public social services verifications.
3. Homeless (10 Points) – Verification is established by the following documentation from a unit or agency of government that an applicant:
    a. Has a primary nighttime residence that is:
        i. A supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designed to provide temporary living accommodations (including welfare hotels, congregate shelters and transitional housing);

      ii.   An institution that provides a temporary residence for individuals intended to be institutionalized; or

      iii.   A public or private place not designed for, or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings.

      iv.   NOTE: It does NOT include an individual imprisoned or otherwise detained under an Act of the Congress or state law.

4. <u>Veteran Status (10 Points)</u> – Verification is established by the following documentation:
   a. Honorable, general discharge papers;
   b. DD 214 form.

5. <u>Domestic Violence (10 Points)</u> – Verification is established by one or all of the following documentation:
   a. Certification of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault or Stalking;
   b. Certification of displacement because of domestic violence from the local police department, social service agency, or court of competent jurisdiction or public or private facility that provides shelter or counseling to the victims of domestic violence. The applicant family will be required to certify that the abuser will not return to the residence without prior written permission of HHA.
      i. the professional attests under penalty of perjury that the incidents in question are legitimate incidents of abuse, and the victim must sign or attest to the statement.

XIII. Verification of Income

A. All income will be verified in accordance with Part II Tiers of Verification as Mandated by HUD and Part III PHA use of EIV. This may include but not be limited to the following:

1. Gross employment income of all household members;
2. Social Security, pensions, SSI and disability income;
3. Unemployment Compensation;
4. Welfare payments or general assistance;
5. Alimony or child support received, monetary or not;
6. Net income from a business, including childcare and home sales;
7. Recurring monetary contributions and gifts;
8. Zero and/or sporadic income status;
9. Full-time student status;
10. All income excluded by federal mandate will be verified for reporting purposes only.

XIV. Verification of Income from Assets

A. All assets to which any household member has access and income from assets will be verified. This may include, but not be limited to the following:

1. Checking accounts;
2. Current savings accounts and certificates of deposit of all household members;
3. Property owned or financed by household members;
4. Retirement/pension funds;
5. Assets disposed of for less than fair market value in previous 24 months.

XV. Verification of Required Deductions from Income

A. Unreimbursed Childcare Expenses (children ages 0 to 12 years of age):

1. If unreimbursed childcare is required to enable employment; reasonable childcare costs can be deducted from income.
2. If unreimbursed childcare is required to actively seek employment reasonable childcare costs can be deducted from income.

Unreimbursed childcare for actively seeking employment or attending a certified training program is allowed if the resident provides verifiable documentation of his/her efforts to seek employment/attend training away from home. Childcare deductions will not be provided for time spent seeking employment via home computer.

An applicant who lives in a violent neighborhood or is fearful of other violence outside the household is not considered involuntarily displaced.

The applicant must certify that the abuser will not reside with the applicant unless the Housing Authority gives prior written approval.

The Housing Authority will approve the return of the abuser to the household under the following conditions:

> The Housing Authority verifies that the abuser has received therapy or counseling that appears to minimize the likelihood of recurrence of violent behavior.

> A counselor, therapist or other appropriate professional recommends in writing that the individual be allowed to reside with the family.

If the abuser returns to the family without approval of the Housing Authority, the Housing Authority will deny or terminate assistance for breach of the certification.

At the family's request, the Housing Authority will take precautions to ensure that the new location of the family is concealed in cases of domestic abuse.

D. **Homeless Families or Individuals** who lack a fixed regular and adequate night-time residence AND whose night-time residence is a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designed to provide temporary living accommodations; an institution that provides temporary residence for persons intended to be institutionalized; or a public or private place not designed for human beings.

Within the above preference categories A through D, individuals/families who live in, work in, or have been hired to work in, Dauphin County, exclusive of the City of Harrisburg, will be given priority points.

E. **Individuals or families that reside in Dauphin County but outside the City of Harrisburg at the time of their final application and whose head, spouse, co-head or unrelated partner of head of household is currently employed in a position which generates employment income countable under HUD's definition of annual income.**

Employment is defined as:

(1) Employment must be current and have lasted a minimum of 90 calendar days prior to the time the preference is claimed. The employment must provide a minimum of 20 hours of work per week for the family member claiming the preference
OR

(2) Employment must have been held continuously for a minimum of seven (7) months within the twelve (12) month period prior to the time the preference is claimed and the employment was terminated solely due to an involuntary layoff of the employee by the employer.

(3) The amount earned shall not be a factor in granting this preference.

The employment part of this preference is also extended equally to, (1) a family if the head, spouse, or sole member is 62 years of age or older or who is receiving social security or Supplemental Security Income disability benefits or any other payments based on the individual's inability to work and, (2) any family whose head, spouse, co-head or unrelated partner of head of household is currently a full time student or enrolled in an employment training program. Full time student is defined as a person who is carrying a subject load that is considered full time for day students under

Letter from Persons with Lived Experience

Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness

...to educate and mobilize our community and coordinate services to prevent and reduce homelessness in the Capital Region.

September 12, 2023

Dennise Hill, Chair,
Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness,
10 N. 2nd Street, Suite 405,
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Ms. Hill,

We represent a group with lived experience who made decisions about the projects and priorities in the 2023 Continuum of Care application to HUD for housing programs. We reviewed and provided input on the ranking tool and project ranking. We support the priorities for serving and housing individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in the City of Harrisburg and County of Dauphin, that are part of this submission.

Sincerely,

Janesha Black

Shaniya Rhodes

Tyler Davis

Yolanda Turner

10 N. Second St, Suite 405, Harrisburg, PA 17101 (717) 255-6587/fax (717) 238-5342
www.cachpa.org · www.twitter.com/cach_pa · www.cachpa.wordpress.com
www.facebook.com/CapitalAreaCoalitiononHomelessness

CACH WEBSITE (CACHPA.ORG): CoC Public Notice of NOFO and Application Opening - Including Project Submission Procedures, Ranking Criteria, and Renewal and New Projects Amounts. **July 28th 2023.**

NEWS AND INFORMATION

The 2023 Continuum of Care (CoC) Homeless Assistance Programs Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) by US-Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is available.

Agencies interested in applying for funding please read the FY22 CoC Competition NOFO:

- FY23 CoC Competition NOFO (Document)
- Project Renewal Detailed Instructions 2022 (Website indicates 2023 coming soon)
- New Project Detailed Instructions 2022 (Website indicates 2023 coming soon)

All agencies applying or renewing must be members in good standing of CACH

Full PA 501/CACH Public Notice – Including Project Submission Procedures, Ranking Criteria, and Renewal & Bonus Amounts

2023 CoC Competition Timeline – Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness (CACH)

- **July 27, 2023** – CACH publishes on website, listserv and social media the NOFO, timeline, criteria for ranking, and submission procedures for projects.
- **August 28, 2023** – **Renewals and new project applications due to CACH via esnaps**.
- **September 13, 2023** – CACH notifies projects in writing if application(s) a r e accepted and ranked, rejected, or reduced on the CoC Priority Listing.
- **September 26, 2023** – CACH posts publicly all parts of the CoC application and Priority Listing.
- **September 28, 2023** – Final Submission to HUD CoC Competition by CACH

Email of Public Notice of CoC Opening of NOFO and Application to CACH listserv – July 28th 2023.

PA501/CACH Public Notice: 2023 HUD CoC NOFO - Including Project Submission Procedures, Ranking Criteria, and Renewal & Bonus Amounts

BD   **Bryan Davis** <bdavis@hra-harrisburgpa.org>
9:52 AM

To: Bryan Davis Cc: George Payne

The 2023 Continuum of Care (CoC) Homeless Assistance Programs Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) by US-Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is available.

Agencies interested in applying for funding please read the FY22 CoC Competition NOFO:

- FY23 CoC Competition NOFO (Document)
- Project Renewal Detailed Instructions 2022 (Website indicates 2023 coming soon)
- New Project Detailed Instructions 2022 (Website indicates 2023 coming soon)

All agencies applying or renewing must be members in good standing of CACH

Full PA 501/CACH Public Notice – Including Project Submission Procedures, Ranking Criteria, and Renewal & Bonus Amounts

2023 CoC Competition Timeline – Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness (CACH)

- **July 27, 2023** – CACH publishes on website, listserv and social media the NOFO, timeline, criteria for ranking, and submission procedures for projects.
- **August 28, 2023** – **Renewals and new project applications due to CACH via esnaps**.
- **September 13, 2023** – CACH notifies projects in writing if application(s) a r e accepted and ranked, rejected, or reduced on the CoC Priority Listing.
- **September 26, 2023** – CACH posts publicly all parts of the CoC application and Priority Listing.
- September 28, 2023 – **Final Submission to HUD CoC Competition by CACH**

Please direct any inquiries to George Payne.

My Best! - Bryan

Bryan K Davis, Executive Director
Redevelopment Authority of the City of Harrisburg
Direct: (717) 255-6639

Redevelopment Authority of the City of Harrisburg
www.redevelophbg.org

Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness

...to educate and mobilize our community and coordinate services to prevent and reduce homelessness in the Capital Region.

The 2023 Continuum of Care (CoC) Homeless Assistance Programs Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) by US-Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is available.

For Harrisburg and Dauphin County CoC PA501 the following are renewal and bonus amounts:

Annual Renewal Amount	Tier 1 (93% of Renewals)	CoC Bonus	DV Bonus
$1,816,722	$1,689,551	$170,999	$244,285

Agencies interested in applying for funding please read the FY23 CoC Competition NOFO:
- FY23 CoC Competition NOFO (Document)
- Project Renewal Detailed Instructions 2022 (Website indicates 2023 coming soon)
- New Project Detailed Instructions 2022 (Website indicates 2023 coming soon)

All agencies applying or renewing must be members in good standing of CACH

2023 CoC Competition Timeline – Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness (CACH)
- July 27, 2023   CACH publishes on website, listserv and social media the NOFO, timeline, criteria for ranking, and submission procedures for projects.
- August 28, 2023   **Renewals and new project applications due to CACH via esnaps**.
- September 13, 2023, CACH notifies projects in writing if application(s) are accepted and ranked, rejected, or reduced on the CoC Priority Listing.
- September 26, 2023 CACH posts publicly all parts of the CoC application and Priority Listing.
- September 28, 2023 Final Submission to HUD CoC Competition by CACH

Process to Apply:
CACH is the "Collaborative Applicant" or the agency that submits a Consolidated CoC application for the Continuum of Care PA501 (City of Harrisburg and the County of Dauphin, Pennsylvania). New or renewal projects are submitted as part of that singular application. All applications are submitted through HUD's E-SNAPS system. To do so agencies must create membership on E-SNAPS AND have a valid DUNS number and SAMS affiliation (NOFO IV B p.61)

1. RENEWALS may **reapply**, (NOFO III B.3.c, d) **consolidate** same type renewals (NOFO I.B.3.e and III.B.4.b.(8)) or **transition** to a new project (NOFO III.B.2.cc)

2. NEW projects (NOFO III.B.3.e) are only: reallocated from renewals, **CoC bonus** (NOFO I.B.2.b.(3)), **DV bonus** (NOFO I.B.2.b.(8)) or an **expansion** project ((NOFO I.B.2.b.(10)),

   CACH welcomes all NEW projects to submit for this competition including from agencies that have not submitted before. Agencies applying for a NEW project and/or is a NEW applicant, please send a short email with your agency name and your TYPE of NEW projects (NOFO I.B.2.O pg.32) to gpayne@hra-harrisburgpa.org no later than Aug. 10, 2023.

There are two tiers for all projects submitted:
- **Tier 1** is 93 percent of the CoC annual renewal demand (ARD) or the total for all renewals.
- **Tier 2** is the remaining 7 percent up to the total HUD final pro rata need (FPRN) allocated for the CoC and amounts for CoC bonus and DV bonus projects.

Projects in Tier 1 are evaluated on basic eligibility and agency merit and likely to be funded.
Projects that fall in or straddle Tier 2 compete nationally and may/may not be funded/fully funded.
All projects, new or renewal, are ranked by CACH in Tier 1 through Tier 2 following their order.

If you have any questions, please contact George Payne
at 717-255-6431 or gpayne@hra-harrisburgpa.org

![CACH logo]

Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness

...to educate and mobilize our community and coordinate services to prevent and reduce homelessness in the Capital Region.

Appendix: **CACH COC 2023 – RANKING TOOL AND SCORE MATRIX**

All projects are RANKED based on HUD and local priorities, agency performance or capacity, compliance to pertinent regulations, HUD specific outcomes, sustainability, and participation in the Continuum of Care (see Appendix below for Ranking tool and score criteria).

Projects are accepted, rejected, reduced or reallocated based on CACH's Reallocation Policy (click)

CACH Ranking and Scoring Matrix used to rank projects submitted for the CoC application is based (80%) on Objective Criteria such as cost effectiveness, type of population served, type of housing proposed, housing first, capacity, CE effectiveness, and System Performance Measures (20%). The rest of the measures involve programmatic functions such as capacity, compliance, and representation of racial, ethnic, and those with lived experience.

	Criteria	Max Points	% of Score
1	Thresholds	Pass/Fail	-
2	Project Type Priorities	50	25%
3	HUD And Local Population Priorities	35	18%
4	Agency Experience And Capacity	5	3%
5	Current Grant Status	10	5%
6	Unit Cost Effectiveness	5	3%
7	Number Of Beds (Capacity)	5	3%
8	Housing First	10	5%
9	Vulnerability/Localized Need	10	5%
10	Project System Performance Measure	40	20%
11	Effective Use Of CE	5	3%
12	HMIS Quality And Usage	10	5%
13	Homeless Participation	5	3%
14	Racial Disparity	5	3%
15	Utilize Health Care	5	3%
	Total Maximum Points	200	100%

1. THRESHOLDS (hyperlinked below to various source documents) Yes/No
Attend 75% of CACH general membership or CACH committee/sub-committee meetings
Participate in Coordinated Entry
Consistent with CACH Blueprint
Consistent with Harrisburg City Consolidated Plan (pg. 60 ff and 85 ff)
Consistent with Dauphin County Consolidated Plan (pg. 45 ff)
Consistent with Harrisburg City Comprehensive Plan
Consistent with Dauphin County Comprehensive Plan
Consistent with Dauphin County Human Services Block Grant Plan
Consistent with Zoning for Housing Components of Project

2. PROJECT TYPE PRIORITIES (Total possible Points 50)
- Homeless Management Information System/Coordinated Entry System - 50 pts

If you have any questions, please contact George Payne
at 717-255-6431 or gpayne@hra-harrisburgpa.org

Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness

...to educate and mobilize our community and coordinate services to prevent and reduce homelessness in the Capital Region.

- Permanent Housing. This includes Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing, and Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing - 47 pts
- Safe Haven (Indefinite Housing for Chronically Homeless Persons) - 45 pts

3. HUD AND LOCAL POPULATION PRIORITIES
Source: Project Submission Q. 3B, 5A, B - Projected Number (Total possible points 35)
(HMIS/CES gets average of points)
- Dedicated Beds for Chronic Homeless (5 pts). *Source: Project Submission. 5b*
- Dedicated Beds for Veterans (5 pts). *Source: Project Submission. 5b*
- Dedicated Beds for VAWA victims (5 pts). *Source: Project Submission. 5b*
- Beds for Families with Children (5 pts). *Source: Project Submission. 5a*
- Beds for Unaccompanied Youth under 25 (5 pts x % of beds). *Source: Project Submission.5a*
- Beds for Mental Health/Autism/Developmental Programs or Drug and Alcohol (5 pts x % beds). *Source: Project Submission. 5b*

4. AGENCY EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY (Total possible points 5)
Source: Agency Application
- Board Members' Experience (0.5 pt.)
- Executive Leadership Experience (0.5 pt.)
- Program Manager Experience (1 pt.)
- Agency Resume (1 pt.)
- Agency Financial Statement (1 pt.)
- Program Operating Successfully/Sufficient Staff (Average of Pts for New Projects) (1 pt.)

5. CURRENT GRANT STATUS (Total possible points 10)
Source: Project Submission - Recipient Performance (pg. 23, 24), SAGE - Grant Spending report.
- Existing grant implemented (2 pts)
- Existing grant drawing funds on time (quarterly) (2 pt)
- Participant eligibility adhered (2 pt)
- Funds not fully drawn down in previous grant and returned to HUD (- 2 pts)
- No HUD findings in last 3 years (2 pts)
- Submitted APR on time (2 pts)

6. UNIT COST EFFECTIVENESS (Total possible points 8) Highest pts to project with lowest cost per bed and other projects pts are based on % variance from that lowest cost. (HMIS/CES gets average of scores). Source: Average Beds utilization (APR Q7b)

7. NUMBER OF BEDS (1 point for every 5 beds) (HMIS/CES gets average of scores)
Source: Project Submission Q.4b

8. HOUSING FIRST (Total Possible Points: 5) (HMIS/CES get average of scores)
Source: Project Submission Q.3b

9. VULNERABILITY NEEDS/ LOCALIZED NEEDS (COORDINATED ENTRY

If you have any questions, please contact George Payne
at 717-255-6431 or gpayne@hra-harrisburgpa.org

Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness

...to educate and mobilize our community and coordinate services to prevent and reduce homelessness in the Capital Region.

REFERRAL NEEDS): % population x pts. (Total possible points: 10) (HMIS/CES gets average of all scores)

Source: Project Submission Q3b, 5a, or Annual Progress Reports (APR) 5.a, 26.b, 11, 13.a.1, 15

- Serving those with significant Health/Behavioral challenges (2 pts) (Source *APR Q. 13.a.1*)
- Serving Chronic Homeless (3 pts) (Source *APR Q. 26.b*)
- Serving youth under 25 (3 pts) (Source: *APR Q. 11*)
- Serving those coming from streets or unsheltered situations (5 pts) *(Source APR Q. 15)*

10. RENEWALS - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE MEASURES: (Total possible points: 40)
(HMIS/CES and 1st year/ Reallocation Projects - *given average of total)* Source: APRS

- % of those who exited to PH or stayed put in PH program (% x 10 pts.) *(Source APR 23c)*
- % of participants "increased or maintained" income (% x 10 pts) *(Source APR 19.a.1)**
- % of participants "increased or maintained" benefits (% x 10 pts) *(Source APR 19.a.1, 20.a)**
- Bed Utilization: 75% (2 pts); 76-80% (4 pts); 81-85%; (6 pts) 86-90%; (8 pts) 91-100 (10 pts)

*Less % without the required annual assessment info updated (APR Q. 16)

11. EFFECTIVE USE OF COORDINATED ENTRY: (Total possible Points: 5)

- Was able to place a referral (1 point)
- Length of time from CES referral to acceptance decreases (2 point) stays same (1 pt)
- Length of time from CES referral to Housed decrease (2 point) or stays the same (1 pt)

*-1 pt. for every appropriate CES referral which was not accepted, up to -3 pts. maximum.

12. HMIS QUALITY AND USAGE. Source - Annual Progress Reports (APR) Question 6**.**
(HMIS/CES and new projects gets average of all scores) (Total possible points: 10)

- APR 6a - Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information - 2 points x % without errors
- APR 6b - Data Quality: Universal Data Elements - 2 points x % without errors
- APR 6c - Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality - 2 points x % without errors
- APR 6d - Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness - 2 points x % without errors
- APR 6e - Data Quality: Timeliness -- 2 points x % without errors

13. HOMELESS (FORMERLY HOMELESS IN PAST 7 YEARS) PARTICIPATION (5 pts)
Has homeless or formerly homeless persons on agency board who is able to make decisions on program structure, function, operations, budget and personnel.

14. RACIAL DISPARITY (HMIS/CES and new projects gets average of all scores) (5 pts) (1 pt if % minority=county average +1 point for every 5% over up to maximum of 5 pts)

- People of different races or ethnicities receive homeless assistance *(Source: APR Q 12.a)*
- % of Population nonwhite or minority (Dauphin County Minority (US Census) =28.5%)

15. UTILIZE HEALTH CARE AND PUBLIC HOUSING VOUCHERS (new project only)
(CES/HMIS projects gets average of all scores) (5 pts)
Project includes written agreement with Public Housing Authority/developer and/or Healthcare agencies to "utilize housing vouchers and healthcare provided through an array of healthcare services providers" and 25% of project beds are funded by those sources.

CACH COC 2023 - RANKING TOOL AND SCORE MATRIX

CACH Ranking and Scoring Matrix used to rank projects submitted for the CoC application is based (80%) on Objective Criteria such as cost effectiveness, type of population served, type of housing proposed, housing first, capacity, CE effectiveness, and System Performance Measures (20%). The rest of the measures involve programmatic functions such as capacity, compliance, and representation of racial, ethnic, and those with lived experience.

	Criteria	Max Points	% of Score
1	Thresholds	Pass/Fail	-
2	Project Type Priorities	50	25%
3	HUD And Local Population Priorities	35	18%
4	Agency Experience And Capacity	5	3%
5	Current Grant Status	10	5%
6	Unit Cost Effectiveness	5	3%
7	Number Of Beds (Capacity)	5	3%
8	Housing First	10	5%
9	Vulnerability/Localized Need	10	5%
10	Project System Performance Measure	40	20%
11	Effective Use Of CE	5	3%
12	HMIS Quality And Usage	10	5%
13	Homeless Participation	5	3%
14	Racial Disparity	5	3%
15	Utilize Health Care	5	3%
	Total Maximum Points	200	100%

1. THRESHOLDS (hyperlinked below to various source documents) Yes/No
Attend 75% of CACH general membership or CACH committee/sub-committee meetings
Participate in Coordinated Entry
Consistent with CACH Blueprint
Consistent with Harrisburg City Consolidated Plan (pg. 60 ff and 85 ff)
Consistent with Dauphin County Consolidated Plan (pg. 45 ff)
Consistent with Harrisburg City Comprehensive Plan
Consistent with Dauphin County Comprehensive Plan
Consistent with Dauphin County Human Services Block Grant Plan
Consistent with Zoning for Housing Components of Project

2. PROJECT TYPE PRIORITIES (Total possible Points 50)
- Homeless Management Information System/Coordinated Entry System - 50 pts
- Permanent Housing. This includes Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing, and Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing - 47 pts
- Safe Haven (Indefinite Housing for Chronically Homeless Persons) - 45 pts

3. HUD AND LOCAL POPULATION PRIORITIES
Source: Project Submission Q. 3B, 5A,B - Projected Number (Total possible points 35)
(HMIS/CES gets average of points)
- Dedicated Beds for Chronic Homeless (5 pts). *Source: Project Submission. 5b*
- Dedicated Beds for Veterans (5 pts). *Source: Project Submission. 5b*
- Dedicated Beds for VAWA victims (5 pts). *Source: Project Submission. 5b*
- Beds for Families with Children (5 pts). *Source: Project Submission. 5a*
- Beds for Unaccompanied Youth under 25 (5 pts x % of beds). *Source: Project Submission.5a*
- Beds for Mental Health/Autism/Developmental Programs or Drug and Alcohol (5 pts x % beds). *Source: Project Submission. 5b*

4. AGENCY EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY (Total possible points 5)
Source: Agency Application
- Board Members' Experience (0.5 pt.)
- Executive Leadership Experience (0.5 pt.)
- Program Manager Experience (1 pt.)
- Agency Resume (1 pt.)
- Agency Financial Statement (1 pt.)
- Program Operating Successfully/Sufficient Staff (Average of Pts for New Projects) (1 pt.)

5. CURRENT GRANT STATUS (Total possible points 10)
Source: Project Submission - Recipient Performance (pg. 23, 24), SAGE - Grant Spending report.
- Existing grant implemented (2 pts)
- Existing grant drawing funds on time (quarterly) (2 pt)
- Participant eligibility adhered (2 pt)
- Funds not fully drawn down in previous grant and returned to HUD (- 2 pts)
- No HUD findings in last 3 years (2 pts)
- Submitted APR on time (2 pts)

6. UNIT COST EFFECTIVENESS (Total possible points 5) Highest pts to project with lowest cost per bed and other projects pts are based on % variance from that lowest cost. (HMIS/CES gets average of scores). Source: Average Beds utilization (APR Q7b)

7. NUMBER OF BEDS (1 point for every 5 beds) (HMIS/CES gets average of scores)
Source: Project Submission Q.4b

8. HOUSING FIRST (Total Possible Points: 5) (HMIS/CES get average of scores)
Source: Project Submission Q.3b

9. VULNERABILITY NEEDS/ LOCALIZED NEEDS (COORDINATED ENTRY REFERRAL NEEDS): % population x pts. (Total possible points: 10) (HMIS/CES gets average of all scores)
Source: Project Submission Q3b, 5a, or Annual Progress Reports (APR) 5.a, 26.b, 11, 13.a.1, 15
- Serving those with significant Health/Behavioral challenges (2 pts) (Source *APR Q. 13.a.1)*
- Serving Chronic Homeless (3 pts) (Source *APR Q. 26.b)*

- Serving youth under 25 (3 pts) (Source: *APR Q. 11)*
- Serving those coming from streets or unsheltered situations (5 pts) *(Source APR Q. 15)*

10. RENEWALS - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE MEASURES: (Total possible points: 40)
(HMIS/CES and 1st year/ Reallocation Projects - *given average of total)* Source: APRS
- % of those who exited to PH or stayed put in PH program (% x 10 pts.) *(Source APR 23c)*
- % of participants "increased or maintained" income (% x 10 pts) *(Source APR 19.a.1)**
- % of participants "increased or maintained" benefits (% x 10 pts) *(Source APR 19.a.1, 20.a)**
- Bed Utilization: 75% (2 pts); 76-80% (4 pts); 81-85%; (6 pts) 86-90%; (8 pts) 91-100 (10 pts)
*Less % without the required annual assessment info updated (APR Q. 16)

11. EFFECTIVE USE OF COORDINATED ENTRY: (Total possible Points: 5)
- Was able to place a referral (1 point)
- Length of time from CES referral to acceptance decreases (2 point) stays same (1 pt)
- Length of time from CES referral to Housed decrease (2 point) or stays the same (1 pt)
*-1 pt. for every appropriate CES referral for an opening which was not accepted up to -3 points maximum.

12. HMIS QUALITY AND USAGE. Source - Annual Progress Reports (APR) Question 6**.**
(HMIS/CES and new projects gets average of all scores) (Total possible points: 10)
- APR 6a - Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information - 2 points x % without errors
- APR 6b - Data Quality: Universal Data Elements - 2 points x % without errors
- APR 6c - Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality - 2 points x % without errors
- APR 6d - Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness - 2 points x % without errors
- APR 6e - Data Quality: Timeliness -- 2 points x % without errors

13. HOMELESS (FORMERLY HOMELESS IN PAST 7 YEARS) PARTICIPATION (5 pts)
Has homeless or formerly homeless persons on agency board who is able to make decisions on program structure, function, operations, budget and personnel

14. RACIAL DISPARITY (HMIS/CES and new projects gets average of all scores) (5 pts)
(1 pt if % minority=county average +1 point for every 5% over up to maximum of 5 pts)
- People of different races or ethnicities receive homeless assistance *(Source: APR Q 12.a)*
- % of Population nonwhite or minority (Dauphin County Minority (US Census) =28.5%)

15. UTILIZE HEALTH CARE AND PUBLIC HOUSING VOUCHERS (new project only)
(CES/HMIS projects gets average of all scores) (5 pts)
Project includes written agreement with Public Housing Authority/developer and/or Healthcare agencies to "utilize housing vouchers and healthcare provided through an array of healthcare services providers" and 25% of project beds are funded by those sources.

	Side by Side PSH Renewal	Susquehanna Harbor Safe Haven	HMIS_2023	Dauphin Co Housing Authority Renewal	2023 YWCA PHD Renewal	2023 YWCA Safe Haven Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal	2023 YW Veterans Housing	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023	RRH 3 Unit	2023 Housing for Victims of Human Trafficking Renewal	HELP Ministries Rapid Rehousing	RRH 7 Unit 2023	2023 YWCA Joint TH-RRH Renewal
PROJECT TYPE (PH - Permanent Housing, **PSH** - Permanent Supportive Housing, **PH-RRH** - Permanent Housing - Rapid Rehousing, **TH**- Transitional Housing, **SH** - Safe Haven, **HMIS** - Homeless Management Information System, **CES** - Coordinated Entry System)	PSH	SH	HMIS	PSH	PSH	PSH	PSH	PSH	PH-RRH	PH-RRH	PH-RRH	PH-RRH	Joint TH-RRH
1. THRESHOLDS (hyperlinked below to various source doucments) Yes/No													
Attend 75% of CACH general membership or CACH committee/sub-committee meetings	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Participate in Coordinated Entry	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Consistent with CACH Blueprint	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Consistent with Harrisburg City Consolidated Plan (pg. 60 ff and 85 ff)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Consistent with Dauphin County Consolidated Plan (pg. 45 ff)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Consistent with Harrisburg City Comprehensive Plan	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Consistent with Dauphin County Comprehensive Plan	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Consistent with Dauphin County Human Services Block Grant Plan	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Consistent with Zoning for Housing Components of Project	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. PROJECT TYPE PRIORITIES													
Homeless Management Information System/Coordinated Entry System - 50 pts			50.00										
Permanent Housing. This includes Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing, and Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing - 47 pts	47.00			47.00	47.00	47.00	47.00	47.00	47.00	47.00	47.00	47.00	47.00
Safe Haven (Indefinite Housing for Chronically Homeless Persons) - 45 pts		45.00											
3. HUD AND LOCAL POPULATION PRIORITIES Source: Project Submission Q. 3B, 5A,B - Projected Number (Total possible points 35) (HMIS/CES gets average of points)													
Dedicated Beds for Chronic Homeless (5 pts x % of beds) *Proj. Subm. 5b*	0.33	5.00	1.70	0.00	1.36	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45
Beds for Families with Children (5 pts x % of beds) *Proj. Subm. 5a*	3.67	0.00	2.70	4.14	3.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	2.27	5.00	5.00	2.73
Beds for Veterans (5 pts x % of beds) *Proj. Subm. 5b*	0.00	0.40	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Beds for VAWA victims (5 pts x % of beds) *Proj. Subm. 5b*	0.00	0.00	1.12	0.00	0.33	0.00	1.00	2.50	1.11	5.00	0.00	2.39	2.27
Beds for Unaccompanied Youth under 25 (5 pts x % of beds) *Proj. Subm. 5a*	1.00	0.40	0.79	0.14	0.00	0.63	0.00	1.25	0.56	2.27	0.91	1.09	1.93
Beds for Mental Health/Autism/Developmental Programs or Drug and Alcohol (5 pts x % beds) *Proj. Subm. 5b*	0.33	5.00	2.66	5.00	2.27	5.00	1.00	5.00	1.67	0.45	0.45	2.61	0.80
Subtotal	5.33	10.80	9.40	9.29	7.15	10.63	12.00	13.75	8.33	10.00	6.36	11.09	8.18
4. AGENCY EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY (Total possible points 5)													
Board Members' Experience (0.5 pt)	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Executive Leadership Experience (0.5 pt)	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Program Manager Experience (1 pt)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Agency Application Submitted (1 pt)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Agency Financial Statement (1 pts)	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Program Operating Successfully/Sufficient Staff (Average of Pts for New Projects) (1pts)	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50
Subtotal	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	3.50	3.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50
5. CURRENT GRANT STATUS (Total possible points 10) Source: Project Submission - Recipient Performance (pg 23, 24) and SAGE - Grant Spending report.													
Existing grant implemented (2 pt)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Existing grant drawing funds on time (quarterly) (2 pt)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Participant eligibility adhered (2 pt)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Funds not fully drawn down in previous grant and returned to HUD (- 2 pts)	-	-	-	-	-2.00	-2.00	-2.00	-2.00	-	-2.00	-	-	-2.00
No HUD findings in last 3 years (2 pts)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Submitted APR on time (2 pts)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Subtotal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	6.00	10.00	8.00	10.00	10.00	8.00
6. PROJECT COST EFFECTIVENESS (Total possible points 5) Highest pts to project with lowest cost per bed and other projects pts are based on % variance from that lowest cost. (HMIS/CES gets average of scores)													
Average Beds utilzation (APR Q7b)	15.00	9.50	14.83	27.25	16.25	7.50	4.25	5.25	12.50	10.25	24.50	21.25	12.00
Points Awarded	5.00	5.00	2.69	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	5.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	5.00
7. NUMBER OF BEDS (1 point for every 5 beds for a maximum of 5 pts) (HMIS/CES gets average of scores) Source: Project Submission Q.4b	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	5.00

PA 501 CONTINUUM OF CARE
RANKING TOOL AND TABLE

	Side by Side PSH Renewal	Susquehanna Harbor Safe Haven	HMIS_2023	Dauphin Co Housing Authority Renewal	2023 YWCA PHD Renewal	2023 YWCA Safe Haven Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal	2023 YW Veterans Housing	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023	RRH 3 Unit	2023 Housing for Victims of Human Trafficking Renewal	HELP Ministries Rapid Rehousing	RRH 7 Unit 2023	2023 YWCA Joint TH-RRH Renewal
8. HOUSING FIRST (Total Possible Points: 5) (HMIS/CES get average of scores) Source: Project Submission Q.3d	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
9. Vulnerability Needs/ Localized Needs (Coordinated Entry Referral Needs): % population x pts. (Total possible points: 10) (HMIS/CES gets average of all scores) Source: Project Subm. Q3b, 5a for new projects Or APR 5.a, 26b, 11, 15 for renewals.													
Serving those with significant Health/Behavioral challenges (2 pts) *APR Q. 13a1*	0.74	2.00	2.00	1.33	0.56	2.00	1.00	1.40	0.10	0.28	0.17	0.26	0.34
Serving Chronic Homeless (2 pts) *APR Q. 26b*	0.21	1.60	0.48	0.48	0.00	2.00	1.00	0.20	0.00	0.07	0.40	0.08	0.23
Serving youth under 25 (2 pt) *APR Q. 11*	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.48	0.34	0.05	0.00
Serving those coming from streets or unsheltered situations (2 pts) *APR Q. 15*	0.32	1.20	0.10	0.10	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.40	0.10	0.07	0.51	0.00	0.11
Serving victims of Domestic Violence (2pts) *APR Q.14a*	0.63	0.13	0.29	0.29	0.11	1.50	0.33	0.20	0.10	1.03	0.11	0.46	0.29
Subtotal	2.21	4.93	2.86	2.29	0.89	6.50	3.33	2.20	0.48	1.93	1.54	0.85	0.97
10. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE MEASURES: (Total possible points: 40) (HMIS/CES and 1st yr/ Reallocation Projects - *given average of total*) Source: Annual Progress Reports													
% of those who exited to PH or stayed put in PH program (% x 10 pts.) *(APR Q. 23c)*	7.89	9.33	10.00	9.52	10.00	10.00	8.33	9.00	10.00	8.75	7.62	10.00	8.75
% of participants that "increased or maintained" income (% x 10 pts) *(APR Q. 19a1) *^*	11.18	9.33	10.00	9.18	6.88	12.50	13.75	5.00	10.00	10.00	4.55	10.00	10.00
% of participants that "increased or maintained" benefits (% x 10 pts) *(APR Q 19a1, 20a) *^*	8.18	8.18	8.18	8.18	8.18	8.18	8.18	8.18	8.18	8.18	8.18	8.18	9.18
Bed Utilization: 75% (2 ptS) 76-80%(4 pts) 81-85% (6 pts) 86-90%(8 pts) 91-100 (10 pts) *(APR Q. 7B and SAGE Bed Unit Inventory)*	10.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	2.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	0.00
Minus % without the required annual assessment info updated (APR Q. 16)	18%	7%	0%	31%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	55%	0%	0%
^ If not just maintained but increased, then % successful measure x 5pts extra.													
Subtotal	37.26	26.85	38.18	36.88	25.06	40.68	40.27	24.18	38.18	36.93	30.35	38.18	27.93
11. EFFECTIVE USE OF COORDINATED ENTRY: -1 pt for every appropriate CES referral for an opening which was not accepted up to -5 points maximum. (HMIS/CES and new projects gets average of all scores) Source - CES reports													
Was able to place a referral (1 pt)	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Length of time from CES referral to acceptance decreases (2 point) stays same (1 pt)	-	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	-	-	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	-	2.00
Length of time from CES referral to Housed decrease (2 point) or stays the same (1 pt)	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	2.00	-	-	-
*-1 pt. for every appropriate CES referral which was not accepted, up to -3 pts. max.													
Subtotal	0.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	1.00	3.00
12. HMIS QUALITY AND USAGE. Source - Annual Progress Reports (APR) Question 6. (HMIS/CES and new projects gets average of all scores) (Total possible points: 10)													
APR 6a - Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information - 2 points x % without errors	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	1.02	2.00	1.76
APR 6b - Data Quality: Universal Data Elements - 2 points x % without errors	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.98	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.86	1.72	2.00	2.00
APR 6c - Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality - 2 points x % without errors	1.86	1.90	2.00	1.38	2.00	1.50	2.00	1.18	2.00	2.00	0.72	2.00	2.00
APR 6d - Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness - 2 points x % without errors	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.64	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.74	2.00	1.48	1.18	2.00	1.52
APR 6e - Data Quality: Timeliness -- 2 points x % without errors	1.58	1.60	1.48	1.48	1.66	2.00	1.66	1.40	1.14	2.00	1.20	0.92	1.49
Subtotal	9.44	9.50	9.48	8.48	9.66	9.50	9.66	8.32	9.14	7.34	5.84	8.92	8.77
13. HOMELESS (OR FORMERLY HOMELESS IN PAST 7 YEARS) PARTICIPATION (5 pts)													
Has homeless or formerly homeless persons on agency board who is able to make decisions on program structure, function, operations, budget and personnel	5.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14. RACIAL DISPARITY (HMIS/CES and new projects gets average of all scores) (5 pts) (1 pt if % minority=county average +1 point for every 5% over up to maximum of 5 pts)													
People of different races or ethnicities receive homeless assistance *(APR Q 12.a)*	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
% population non white or minority (Dauphin County Minority (2022 US Census) =30.4%)	42%	60%	86%	76%	61%	88%	50%	70%	86%	86%	86%	74%	92%
15. UTILIZE HEALTH CARE AND PUBLIC HOUSING VOUCHERS. (CES/HMIS projects gets average of all scores) (5 pts)													
Project includes written agreement with Public Housing Authority/developer and/or Healthcare agencies to "utilize housing vouchers and healthcare provided through an array of healthcare services providers" and 25% of project beds are funded by those sources.													
SCORE	136.74	134.08	151.61	138.43	118.26	137.81	137.26	129.45	137.13	134.20	122.09	140.03	128.35

PA 501 CONTINUUM OF CARE

	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023
PROJECT TYPE (**PH** - Permanent Housing, **PSH** - Permanent Supportive Housing, **PH-RRH** - Permanent Housing - Rapid Rehousing, **TH**- Transitional Housing, **SH** - Safe Haven, **HMIS** - Homeless Management Information System, **CES** - Coordinated Entry System)	PSH
1. THRESHOLDS (hyperlinked below to various source doucments) Yes/No	
Attend 75% of CACH general membership or CACH committee/sub-committee meetings	Y
Participate in Coordinated Entry	Y
Consistent with CACH Blueprint	Y
Consistent with Harrisburg City Consolidated Plan (pg. 60 ff and 85 ff)	Y
Consistent with Dauphin County Consolidated Plan (pg. 45 ff)	Y
Consistent with Harrisburg City Comprehensive Plan	Y
Consistent with Dauphin County Comprehensive Plan	Y
Consistent with Dauphin County Human Services Block Grant Plan	Y
Consistent with Zoning for Housing Components of Project	Y
2. PROJECT TYPE PRIORITIES	
Homeless Management Information System/Coordinated Entry System - 50 pts	
Permanent Housing. This includes Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing, and Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing - 47 pts	47.00
Safe Haven (Indefinite Housing for Chronically Homeless Persons) - 45 pts	

PA 501 CONTINUUM OF CARE

	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023
3. HUD AND LOCAL POPULATION PRIORITIES Source: Project Submission Q. 3B, 5A,B - Projected Number (Total possible points 35) (HMIS/CES gets average of points)	
Dedicated Beds for Chronic Homeless (5 pts x % of beds) *Proj. Subm. 5b*	5.00
Beds for Families with Children (5 pts x % of beds) *Proj. Subm. 5a*	0.00
Beds for Veterans (5 pts x % of beds) *Proj. Subm. 5b*	0.00
Beds for VAWA victims (5 pts x % of beds) *Proj. Subm. 5b*	2.50
Beds for Unaccompanied Youth 18 - 25 (5 pts x % of beds) *Proj. Subm. 5a*	1.25
Beds for Mental Health/Autism/Developmental Programs or Drug and Alcohol (5 pts x % beds) *Proj. Subm. 5b*	5.00
Subtotal	13.75
4. AGENCY EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY (Total possible points 5)	
Board Members' Experience (0.5 pt)	0.50
Executive Leadership Experience (0.5 pt)	0.50
Program Manager Experience (1 pt)	1.00
Agency Application Submitted (1 pt)	1.00
Agency Financial Statement (1 pts)	1.00
Program Operating Successfully/Sufficient Staff (Average of Pts for New Projects) (1pts)	1.00
Subtotal	5.00

PA 501 CONTINUUM OF CARE

	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023
5. CURRENT GRANT STATUS (Total possible points 10) Source: Project Submission - Recipient Performance (pg 23, 24) and SAGE - Grant Spending report.	
Existing grant implemented (2 pt)	2.00
Existing grant drawing funds on time (quarterly) (2 pt)	2.00
Participant eligibility adhered (2 pt)	0.00
Funds not fully drawn down in previous grant and returned to HUD (- 2 pts)	-2.00
No HUD findings in last 3 years (2 pts)	2.00
Submitted APR on time (2 pts)	2.00
Subtotal	6.00
6. PROJECT COST EFFECTIVENESS (Total possible points 5) Highest pts to project with lowest cost per bed and other projects pts are based on % variance from that lowest cost. (HMIS/CES gets average of scores)	
Average Beds utilzation (APR Q7b)	5.25
Points Awarded	1.00
7. NUMBER OF BEDS (1 point for every 5 beds for a maximum of 5 pts) (HMIS/CES gets average of scores) Source: Project Submission Q.4b	4.00

PA 501 CONTINUUM OF CARE

	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023
8. HOUSING FIRST (Total Possible Points: 5) (HMIS/CES get average of scores) Source: Project Submission Q.3d	5.00
9. Vulnerability Needs/ Localized Needs (Coordinated Entry Referral Needs): % population x pts. (Total possible points: 10) (HMIS/CES gets average of all scores) Source: Project Subm. Q3b, 5a **f**or new projects Or APR 5.a, 26b, 11, 15 for renewals.	
Serving those with significant Health/Behavioral challenges (2 pts) *APR Q. 13a1*	1.40
Serving Chronic Homeless (2 pts) *APR Q. 26b*	0.20
Serving youth under 25 (2 pt) *APR Q. 11*	0.00
Serving those coming from streets or unsheltered situations (2 pts) *APR Q. 15*	0.40
Serving victims of Domestic Violence (2pts) *APR Q.14a*	0.20
Subtotal	2.20
10. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE MEASURES: (Total possible points: 40) (HMIS/CES and 1st yr/ Reallocation Projects - *given average of total)* Source: Annual Progress Reports	
% of those who exited to PH or stayed put in PH program (% x 10 pts.) *(APR Q. 23c)*	9.00
% of participants that "increased or maintained" income (% x 10 pts) *(APR Q. 19a1)* *^	5.00
% of participants that "increased or maintained" benefits (% x 10 pts) *(APR Q 19a1, 20a)* *^	8.18

PA 501 CONTINUUM OF CARE

	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023
Bed Utilization: 75% (2 ptS) 76-80%(4 pts) 81-85% (6 pts) 86-90%(8 pts) 91-100 (10 pts) *(APR Q. 7B and SAGE Bed Unit Inventory)*	0.00
Minus % without the required annual assessment info updated (APR Q. 16)	50%
^ If not just maintained but increased, then % successful measure x 5pts extra.	
Subtotal	22.18
11. EFFECTIVE USE OF COORDINATED ENTRY: -1 pt for every appropriate CES referral for an opening which was not accepted up to -5 points maximum. **(HMIS/CES and new projects gets average of all scores)** Source - CES reports	
Was able to place a referral (1 pt)	1.00
Length of time from CES referral to acceptance decreases (2 point) stays same (1 pt)	1.00
Length of time from CES referral to Housed decrease (2 point) or stays the same (1 pt)	1.00
*-1 pt. for every appropriate CES referral which was not accepted, up to -3 pts. max.	
Subtotal	3.00
12. HMIS QUALITY AND USAGE. Source - Annual Progress Reports (APR) Question 6. **(HMIS/CES and new projects gets average of all scores)** (Total possible points: 10)	
APR 6a - Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information - 2 points x % without errors	2.00
APR 6b - Data Quality: Universal Data Elements - 2 points x % without errors	2.00
APR 6c - Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality - 2 points x % without errors	1.18

PA 501 CONTINUUM OF CARE

	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023
APR 6d - Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness - 2 points x % without errors	1.74
APR 6e - Data Quality: Timeliness -- 2 points x % without errors	1.40
Subtotal	8.32
13. HOMELESS (OR FORMERLY HOMELESS IN PAST 7 YEARS) PARTICIPATION (5 pts)	
Has homeless or formerly homeless persons on agency board who is able to make decisions on program structure, function, operations, budget and personnel	5.00
14. RACIAL DISPARITY (HMIS/CES and new projects gets average of all scores) (5 pts) (1 pt if % minority=county average +1 point for every 5% over up to maximum of 5 pts)	
People of different races or ethnicities receive homeless assistance *(APR Q 12.a)*	5.00
% population non white or minority (Dauphin County Minority (2022 US Census) =30.4%)	70%
15. UTILIZE HEALTH CARE AND PUBLIC HOUSING VOUCHERS. (CES/HMIS projects gets average of all scores) **(5 pts)**	
Project includes written agreement with Public Housing Authority/developer and/or Healthcare agencies to "utilize housing vouchers and healthcare provided through an array of healthcare services providers" and 25% of project beds are funded by those sources.	
SCORE	127.45

There Were No Projects Rejected Or Reduced

Screenshot of Emails to Each and Every Project Submissions of their Proejct Rank and Result (Date: 9/13/2023)

13th of September is 15 days before 28th of September Submission Due Date.

CoC Applicant - Project Ranking and Score Notification.

George Payne
To Darrel Reinford
Cc Bryan Davis

Wed 9/13/2023 10:31 AM

CACH COC RANKING TOOL 2023.xlsx
189 KB

Dear Darrel,

CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for submission for the 2023 US Department of Housing and Urban Development Continuum of Care NOFA and ranked them for submission.   Below is the rank table and attached is the ranking tool score metric of your projects only.

Final Ranking of Projects Submitted for 2023 Continuum of Care Competition:

Rank	Agency/Applicant	Project Title	New (N) or Renewal (R
1	Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness	HMIS_2023	R
2	Gaudenzia Delta	RRH 7 Unit 2023	R
3	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA Safe Haven Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal	R
4	Gaudenzia Delta	Side by Side PSH Renewal	R
5	Gaudenzia Delta	RRH 3 Unit	R
6	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 Housing for Victims of Human Trafficking Renewal	R
7	Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	Dauphin Co Housing Authority Renewal	R
8	Christian Churches United	Susquehanna Harbor Safe Haven	R
9	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YW Veterans Housing	R
10	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA Joint TH-RRH Renewal	R
11	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA PHD Renewal	R
12	Shalom House	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023	R
13	Christian Churches United	HELP Ministries Rapid Rehousing	R

George Payne
Director of Projects
Harrisburg Redevelopment Authority/Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness/Local Lead Agency
10 North Second Street, Suite 405
P.O. Box 2157
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2157

Email list (left pane)

Vollmer, Rebecca — 11:31 AM
RE: Mulder Square RACP Follow up and PHOTOS
Yeah, I want to make sure with Percy, because the number he gave me was not the one we recognized as

Rhonda Hendrickson — 11:23 AM
RE: CACH COC RANKING TOOL 2023.xlsx
Hi Rhonda, Sorry about that. Here is the pertinent one. Thanks! George

Vollmer, Rebecca — 11:19 AM
RE: Mulder Square RACP Follow up and PHOTOS
HI Rebecca, I have liased with Hillary and met with Marita who said she would follow with her, and also

Patrick Bosworth — 11:17 AM
RE: PHA information for the Continuum of Care Application
Thank you, Patrick, Do you have a copy of that page of the annual plan that I can submit? I have to attach

Ashley McNeely — 11:07 AM
RE: HMIS error
Done. Thanks! George

Bryan Davis; Deborah Ritchey — 10:47 AM
CACH COC RANKING TOOL 2023.xlsx
Dear Bryan and Deb, CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for submission

Rhonda Hendrickson — 10:46 AM
CACH COC RANKING TOOL 2023.xlsx
Dear Rhonda, CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for submission for the

Kyla Harvey — 10:43 AM
CACH COC RANKING TOOL 2023.xlsx
Dear Kyla, CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for submission for the

Leah Eppinger; Kristen Runion — 10:41 AM
CACH COC RANKING TOOL 2023.xlsx
Dear Leah and Kristen, CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for

Karol Tasker — 10:39 AM
CACH COC RANKING TOOL 2023 (002).xlsx
Dear Karol, CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for submission for the

Darrel Reinford — 10:31 AM
CoC Applicant - Project Ranking and Score Notification.
Dear Darrel, CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for submission for the

Public Notice of Project Ranking

Listserv mailing to CACH Network - 9/13/2023

Corrected - Public Notice of Project Ranking - 2023 US Department of Housing and Urban Development Continuum of Care

Bryan Davis

To ● CACH eNewsAlert!
Cc ● George Payne
Bcc ○ Airika A. Pollard (Airika.Pollard@va.gov); ● Aisha Mobley (amobley@ccuhbg.org); ○ Aisha Newton (anewton@ccuhbg.org);
● Andrea B. Kepler (akepler@dauphincounty.gov); ○ Angel Fox (AFox@pahouse.net); **+192 others**

ⓘ This message was sent with High importance.

Wed 9/13/2023 1:29 PM

📄 2023 CoC Rank Public Announcement.pdf 137 KB

CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for submission for the 2023 US Department of Housing and Urban Development Con...
of Care NOFA and ranked them for submission:

Final Ranking of Projects Submitted for 2023 Continuum of Care Competition:

Rank	Score	Agency/Applicant	Project	Amount Requested	New Project (N) or Renewal (R)	Accepted (A) or Rejected (R)
1	151.84	Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness	HMIS_2023	$48,705.00	R	A
2	141.03	Gaudenzia Delta	RRH 7 Unit 2023	$ 81,960.00	R	A
3	138.81	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA Safe Haven Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal	$144,606.00	R	A
4	135.74	Gaudenzia Delta	Side by Side PSH Renewal	$85,268.00	R	A
5	135.13	Gaudenzia Delta	RRH 3 Unit	$43,600.00	R	A
6	134.20	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 Housing for Victims of Human Trafficking Renewal	$158,173.00	R	A
7	133.43	Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	Dauphin Co Housing Authority Renewal	$326,440.00	R	A
8	132.08	Christian Churches United	Susquehanna Harbor Safe Haven	$317,210.00	R	A
9	131.26	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YW Veterans Housing	$82,337.00	R	A
10	128.35	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA Joint TH-RRH Renewal	$181,692.00	R	A
11	128.26	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA PHD Renewal	$137,919.00	R	A
12	127.45	Shalom House	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023	$115,541.00	R	A
13	122.09	Christian Churches United	HELP Ministries Rapid Rehousing	$93,271.00	R	A
			Total	1,816,722.00		

Public Notice of Project Ranking

NEWS AND INFORMATION

CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for submission for the 2023 US Department of Housing and Urban Development Continuum of Care NOFA and ranked them for submission:

Final Ranking of Projects Submitted for 2023 Continuum of Care Competition:

Rank	Score	Agency/Applicant	Project	Amount Requested	New Project (N) or Renewal (R)	Accepted (A) or Rejected (R)
1	151.84	Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness	HMIS 2023	$48,705.00	R	A
2	141.03	Gaudenzia Delta	RRH 7 Unit 2023	$ 81,960.00	R	A
3	138.81	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA Safe Haven Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal	$144,606.00	R	A
4	135.74	Gaudenzia Delta	Side by Side PSH Renewal	$85,268.00	R	A
5	135.13	Gaudenzia Delta	RRH 3 Unit	$43,600.00	R	A
6	134.20	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 Housing for Victims of Human Trafficking Renewal	$158,173.00	R	A
7	133.43	Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	Dauphin Co Housing Authority Renewal	$326,440.00	R	A
8	132.08	Christian Churches United	Susquehanna Harbor Safe Haven	$317,210.00	R	A
9	131.26	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YW Veterans Housing	$82,337.00	R	A
10	128.35	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA Joint TH RRH Renewal	$181,692.00	R	A
11	128.26	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA PHD Renewal	$137,919.00	R	A
12	127.45	Shalom House	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023	$115,541.00	R	A
13	122.09	Christian Churches United	HELP Ministries Rapid Rehousing	$93,271.00	R	A
			Total	1,816,722.00		

CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for submission for the 2023 US Department of Housing and Urban Development Continuum of Care NOFA and ranked them for submission:
Final Ranking of Projects Submitted for 2023 Continuum of Care Competition. (*posted 9/13/23*)

The 2023 Continuum of Care (CoC) Homeless Assistance Programs Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) by US-Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is available.

Agencies interested in applying for funding please read the FY22 CoC Competition NOFO:

- FY23 CoC Competition NOFO (Document)

Screenshot – CACH Website – 9/13/2023

Public Notice of Project Ranking

CACH Ranking and Review Committee has reviewed the applications for submission for the 2023 US Department of Housing and Urban Development Continuum of Care NOFA and ranked them for submission:
Final Ranking of Projects Submitted for 2023 Continuum of Care Competition.
(posted 9/13/23)

Final Ranking of Projects Submitted for 2023 Continuum of Care Competition:

Rank	Score	Agency/Applicant	Project	Amount Requested	New Project (N) or Renewal (R)	Accepted (A) or Rejected (R)
1	151.84	Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness	HMIS_2023	$48,705.00	R	A
2	141.03	Gaudenzia Delta	RRH 7 Unit 2023	$81,960.00	R	A
3	138.81	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA Safe Haven Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal	$144,606.00	R	A
4	135.74	Gaudenzia Delta	Side by Side PSH Renewal	$85,268.00	R	A
5	135.13	Gaudenzia Delta	RRH 3 Unit	$43,600.00	R	A
6	134.20	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 Housing for Victims of Human Trafficking Renewal	$158,173.00	R	A
7	133.43	Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	Dauphin Co Housing Authority Renewal	$326,440.00	R	A
8	132.08	Christian Churches United	Susquehanna Harbor Safe Haven	$317,210.00	R	A
9	131.26	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YW Veterans Housing	$82,337.00	R	A
10	128.35	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA Joint TH-RRH Renewal	$181,692.00	R	A
11	128.26	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA PHD Renewal	$137,919.00	R	A
12	127.45	Shalom House	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023	$115,541.00	R	A
13	122.09	Christian Churches United	HELP Ministries Rapid Rehousing	$93,271.00	R	A
			Total	1,816,722.00		

Screenshot – Facebook 9/13/2023

CAPITALL AREA COALITION ON HOMELESSNESS
CoC Project Rank

Rank	Score	Agency/Applicant	Project	Amount Requested	New Project (N) or Renewal (R)	Accepted (A) or Rejected (R)
1	151.61	Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness	HMIS_2023	$ 48,705.00	R	A
2	140.03	Gadenzia Delta	RRH 7 Unit 2023	$ 81,960.00	R	A
4	137.81	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA Safe Haven Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal	$ 144,606.00	R	A
5	137.26	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YW Veterans Housing	$ 82,337.00	R	A
8	136.74	Gaudenzia Delta	Side by Side PSH Renewal	$ 85,268.00	R	A
7	134.20	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 Housing for Victims of Human Trafficking Renewal	$ 158,173.00	R	A
6	138.43	Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	Dauphin Co Housing Authority Renewal	$ 326,440.00	R	A
3	134.08	Christian Churches United	Susquehanna Harbor Safe Haven	$ 317,210.00	R	A
9	137.13	Gaudenzia Delta	RRH 3 Unit	$ 43,600.00	R	A
10	129.45	Shalom House	Shalom House SHARP Renewal 2023	$ 115,541.00	R	A
12	128.35	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA Joint TH-RRH Renewal	$ 181,692.00	R	A
11	122.09	Christian Churches United	HELP Ministries Rapid Rehousing	$ 93,271.00	R	A
13	118.26	YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	2023 YWCA PHD Renewal	$ 137,919.00	R	A
			Ranked Project Total	$ 1,816,722.00		
		Capital Area Coalition on Homelessness	CACH Planning Grant 2023	$ 122,142.00	N	A
			Total	$ 1,938,864.00		

2023 HDX Competition Report

PIT Count Data for PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

Total Population PIT Count Data

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	402	358	423	353
Emergency Shelter Total	238	213	237	223
Safe Haven Total	19	19	9	13
Transitional Housing Total	99	90	113	94
Total Sheltered Count	356	322	359	330
Total Unsheltered Count	46	36	64	23

Chronically Homeless PIT Counts

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	39	69	65	48
Sheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	24	50	44	38
Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	15	19	21	10

2023 HDX Competition Report

PIT Count Data for PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

Homeless Households with Children PIT Counts

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Households with Children	**48**	**37**	**46**	**40**
Sheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children	47	37	45	40
Unsheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children	1	0	1	0

Homeless Veteran PIT Counts

	2011 PIT	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Veterans	**44**	**21**	**40**	**38**	**26**
Sheltered Count of Homeless Veterans	33	20	40	38	25
Unsheltered Count of Homeless Veterans	11	1	0	0	1

*For CoCs that did not conduct an unsheltered count in 2021, 2020 data were used.

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Current, Year-Round, HMIS Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds*	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round Beds	Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds**	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds	Total Current, Year-Round, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES Beds	194	102	194	52.58%	0	0	NA	102	52.58%
SH Beds	25	25	25	100.00%	0	0	NA	25	100.00%
TH Beds	141	136	141	96.45%	0	0	NA	136	96.45%
RRH Beds	114	89	114	78.07%	0	0	NA	89	78.07%
PSH Beds	264	209	264	79.17%	0	0	NA	209	79.17%
OPH Beds	59	37	37	NA	0	0	NA	37	62.71%
Total Beds	797	598	775	77.16%	0	0	NA	598	75.03%

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

Notes
*For OPH Beds, this does NOT include any beds that are Current, Non-VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
**For OPH Beds, this does NOT include any beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").
In the HIC, Current beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").

PSH Beds Dedicated to Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

Chronically Homeless Bed Counts	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons identified on the HIC	36	36	36	36

Rapid Rehousing (RRH) Units Dedicated to Persons in Household with Children

Households with Children	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
RRH units available to serve families on the HIC	58	24	35	49

Rapid Rehousing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

All Household Types	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
RRH beds available to serve all populations on the HIC	129	79	105	114

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Summary Report for PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than October, 1, 2012.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.
Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

	Universe (Persons)		Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)			Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)		
	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
1.1 Persons in ES and SH	806	774	46	64	18	25	41	16
1.2 Persons in ES, SH, and TH	986	923	105	110	5	36	60	24

b. Due to changes in DS Element 3.17, metrics for measure (b) will not be reported in 2016.

This measure includes data from each client's "Length of Time on Street, in an Emergency Shelter, or Safe Haven" (Data Standards element 3.17) response and prepends this answer to the client's entry date effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

NOTE: Due to the data collection period for this year's submission, the calculations for this metric are based on the data element 3.17 that was active in HMIS from 10/1/2015 to 9/30/2016. This measure and the calculation in the SPM specifications will be updated to reflect data element 3.917 in time for next year's submission.

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

	Universe (Persons)		Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)			Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)		
	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
1.1 Persons in ES, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	928	880	336	35149	34813	55	87	32
1.2 Persons in ES, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	1190	1131	353	368	15	84	107	23

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 2: The Extent to which Persons who Exit Homelessness to Permanent Housing Destinations Return to Homelessness

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

	Total # of Persons who Exited to a Permanent Housing Destination (2 Years Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
		FY 2022	% of Returns	FY 2022	% of Returns	FY 2022	% of Returns	FY 2022	% of Returns
Exit was from SO	1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Exit was from ES	106	5	5%	0	0%	0	0%	5	5%
Exit was from TH	36	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Exit was from SH	9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Exit was from PH	151	0	0%	2	1%	3	2%	5	3%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	303	5	2%	2	1%	3	1%	10	3%

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

This measures the change in PIT counts of sheltered and unsheltered homeless person as reported on the PIT (not from HMIS).

	January 2021 PIT Count	January 2022 PIT Count	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons	358	423	65
Emergency Shelter Total	213	237	24
Safe Haven Total	19	9	-10
Transitional Housing Total	90	113	23
Total Sheltered Count	322	359	37
Unsheltered Count	36	64	28

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	991	936	-55
Emergency Shelter Total	793	772	-21
Safe Haven Total	21	15	-6
Transitional Housing Total	242	223	-19

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	73	78	5
Number of adults with increased earned income	3	1	-2
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	4%	1%	-3%

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	73	78	5
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	3	6	3
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	4%	8%	4%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	73	78	5
Number of adults with increased total income	4	7	3
Percentage of adults who increased total income	5%	9%	4%

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	52	34	-18
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	7	1	-6
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	13%	3%	-10%

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	52	34	-18
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	4	1	-3
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	8%	3%	-5%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	52	34	-18
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	9	2	-7
Percentage of adults who increased total income	17%	6%	-11%

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 5: Number of persons who become homeless for the 1st time

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH or TH during the reporting period.	868	800	-68
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	61	89	28
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	807	711	-96

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	980	922	-58
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	97	151	54
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	883	771	-112

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

This Measure is not applicable to CoCs in FY2022 (Oct 1, 2021 - Sept 30, 2022) reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

Metric 7a.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	136	2	-134
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	13	0	-13
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	9	0	-9
% Successful exits	16%	0%	-16%

Metric 7b.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons in ES, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	779	723	-56
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	238	249	11
% Successful exits	31%	34%	3%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in exit to or retention of permanent housing

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH	158	161	3
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	145	149	4
% Successful exits/retention	92%	93%	1%

FY2022 - SysPM Data Quality

PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

	All ES, SH			All TH			All PSH, OPH			All RRH			All Street Outreach		
	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022
1. Number of non-DV Beds on HIC	190	249	266	133	106	136	242	237	307	120	62	96			
2. Number of HMIS Beds	112	159	171	117	106	136	242	237	247	117	53	90			
3. HMIS Participation Rate from HIC (%)	58.95	63.86	64.29	87.97	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	80.46	97.50	85.48	93.75			
4. Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	376	840	376	219	240	222	212	265	231	195	206	217	491	520	265
5. Total Leavers (HMIS)	183	480	308	80	75	148	20	34	30	62	71	87	19	77	10
6. Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	15	48	40	0	3	8	0	1	2	0	2	20	0	3	4
7. Destination Error Rate (%)	8.20	10.00	12.99	0.00	4.00	5.41	0.00	2.94	6.67	0.00	2.82	22.99	0.00	3.90	40.00

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - SysPM Data Quality

2023 HDX Competition Report

Submission and Count Dates for PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

Date of PIT Count

	Date	Received HUD Waiver
Date CoC Conducted 2023 PIT Count	1/25/2023	

Report Submission Date in HDX

	Submitted On	Met Deadline
2023 PIT Count Submittal Date	4/27/2023	Yes
2023 HIC Count Submittal Date	4/27/2023	Yes
2022 System PM Submittal Date	2/9/2023	Yes