

PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA -2019

Ranking is based on the following criteria with scoring method outlined in the Ranking Tool Table.

Section 1 Threshold:

The factors listed in the ranking tool involve minimum standards for CoC participation, blueprint requirement, Coordinated Entry, and consistency with municipal plans.

Section 2: Housing Project Types: Points are given to the type of project being funded.

- Permanent housing is given top points.
- HMIS is given top points because the CoC requires HMIS.
- CES is also given top points.

Weighting is based on HUD priorities of PSH and on local needs for renewal of existing indefinite PH beds above newly proposed PH beds of the same nature.

Section 3: HUD and Local Coordinated Entry Population Priorities:

Points are given to percentage of units dedicated or targeted for priority populations: Chronic Homeless, families with children, Transitional Age Youth, Veterans, special disabled population, and VAWA victims. This is based on HUD priorities and CoC data driven priorities from point in time, longitudinal system analysis and Coordinated Entry trends.

Score is derived from each Project Submission Question 5 and Housing Inventory Chart.

Section 4. Agency Capacity: Ability, solvency of agencies to execute new or renewal projects.

Section 5: Current Grant Status:

Compliance of existing grant and funding drawdown. New projects are given full points.

Section 6: Project Cost Effectiveness:

Lowest cost per unit for each type of housing receives the top score and is the base from which other same housing type projects are given relative score. The source is average number of units based on utilization for each project over the past 3 years taken from HMIS.

Section 7: Number of Beds 1 point for every 5 beds in a program.

Section 8: New Applicant Readiness:

New agency's experience, capacity, and if application is a duplication of an existing program.

Section 9: Housing First: Adheres to Housing First principles indicated in Project Submission 3b.

Section 10: Vulnerability and Needs: Scores projects based serving those with vulnerability:

- low or no Income;
- not screening out current or past substance use;
- serving or not screening out criminal record;
- willing to serve those having been or currently a victim of DV/VAWA;
- serving those with significant Health/Behavioral challenges;
- serving those with a high utilization of crisis or ES (i.e. CH);
- serving youth under 25/ aging out of foster care/ LGBTQ+;
- and serving those coming from streets or unsheltered situations.

Section 11: Renewal Project Performance:

Performance in permanent housing placement, income, mainstream benefits, utilization, APR.

PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA -2019

RANKING TOOL TABLE AND SCORING METHOD:

PROJECT
THRESHOLDS
Attend 75% of CACH General Membership or CACH Committee meetings
Consistent with BluePrint
Consistent with Consolidated Plan
Consistent with Comp Plan and Zoning
Consistent with Human Services Plans
Participate in Coordinated Entry
PROJECT TYPE PRIORITIES
PH (includes RRH and Joint TH-TRRH) (50 pts)
HMIS/Coordinated Entry (50 pts)
SH (40 pts)
HUD AND LOCAL COORDINATED ENTRY POPULATION PRIORITIES (Range of 4- 10 points of 30 pts) (HMIS/CE gets average of points) Source: HIC and Project Submission Q. 5.
Dedicated Beds to CH (5 pts x % of beds)
Dedicated Beds to Families with Children (5 pts x % of beds)
Dedicated Beds to Veterans (5 pts x % of beds)
Dedicated Beds to Unacc Youth under 25 (5 pts x % of beds)
Dedicated to MH/ID population or D&A (5 pts x % beds)
Dedicated Beds to VAWA victims (5 pts x % of beds)
AGENCY EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY (Total possible points 9)
Board Members' Experience (0.5 pts)
Executive Leadership Experience (2 pts)
Program Manager Experience (0.5 pts)
Agency Resume (2 pts)
Agency Financial Statement (2 pts)
Program Operating successfully/sufficient staff (ave. for new projects) (2pts)
CURRENT GRANT STATUS (Total possible points 5) New projects and HMIS receive average of scores
Existing grants executed (1 pts)
Existing grants implemented and drawing funds on time (1 pts)
Participant eligibility adhered (1 pt)
Funds Recaptured by HUD (-2 pts)
No HUD findings in last 3 years (2 pts)
PROJECT COST EFFECTIVENESS (Total possible points 8) Highest pts to project with lowest cost per bed (base). Other project pts based on % variance from that base.
NUMBER OF BEDS (1 point for every 5 beds) (HMIS gets average of scores) Source: Project Submission Q.4
NEW PROJECT APPLICATION (Not re-allocation): Readiness and Non-duplication

PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA -2019

(Total possible points: 5)
HOUSING FIRST (Total Possible Points 5) (HMIS/CE gets fullscore) Source: Project Submission Q.3b
VULNERABILITY AND POSSIBLE NEEDS (Total possible points: 20) HMIS has average Source: Project Submission Q3b, 5b. Coordinated Entry Target Population Needs.
Serving Low or no Income (2 pts)
Not screening out current or past substance use (2 pts)
Serving or not screening out Criminal Record (2 pts)
Willing to serve those having been or currently a victim of DV/VAWA (2pts)
Serving those with significant Health/Behavioral challenges (2 pts)
Serving those with a high utilization of crisis or ES (ie CH) (3 pts)
Serving youth under 25 / aging out of foster care/ LGBTQ+ (3 pts)
Serving those coming from streets or unsheltered situations (5 pts)
RENEWAL PROJECTS: APR Housing Performance (Total possible points 25) HMIS given average of total. Source: APR
% PH destination at exit or no-one left PH (% x 10 pts)
% Increase ("or maintain" for PH projects) income (% x 10 pts)
Utilization rate above 80% (5 pts)
SCORE