PROJECT	RANK	Score	Amount	Running total	Tier	
YW Veterans Housing	1	118.2	\$68,109	\$68,109	Tier 1	
Dauphin Co 2008 S+C Expansion Renewal	2	118	\$33,624	\$101,733		
SHARP	3	118	\$91,578	\$193,311		
YW-PH-Re-allocation	4	114.5	\$22,989	\$216,300		
BHA Side By Side Renewal	5	113.375	\$68,104	\$284,404		
YWCA PHD renewal	6	113	\$112,166	\$396,570		
YWCA- Safe Haven Permanent Supportive Housing	7	110.4	\$130,696	\$527,266		
Susquehanna Harbor Safe Haven	8	105.5	\$317,210	\$844,476		
Dauphin Co. Housing Authority Renewal 2015	9	105.2	\$223,101	\$1,067,577		
YW-2015-PSH-YW-Bonus Project (HT Victims)	10	105.1	\$143,125	\$1,210,702		
S+C 2011 Expansion	11	104.2	\$23,035	\$1,233,737		
Delta RRH Reallocation	12	100.5	\$31,648	\$1,265,385		
HMIS	13	80.5	\$48,705	\$1,314,090		
DELTA Transitional Housing	14	76.5	\$85,668	\$1,399,758		
YWCA Transitional Housing Renewal	15	76	\$148,107	\$1,547,865	\$61,851	Tier 1 Straddle Am
CCU RRH Bonus Project	16	68	\$84,798	\$1,632,663	Tier 2	
Linda House Renewal	17	66.7	\$50,000	\$1,682,663		

Total Amount = \$1,682,663 (ARD + Bonus)

ARD is \$1,597,865

Tier 1 is \$1,486,014 or 93% of ARD

Tie 2 is reminader of ARD +Bonus less Tier 1

RANKING RATIONALE, PRIORITIZATION PRINCIPLES, AND SCORING CRITERIA

The 2016 scoring tool utilizes each project's APR, the reallocation process that was emailed to CACH listserv and placed on the website on 8/29, and the following prioritizing principles and criteria based on our CoC community need and HUD priorities.

1. **PRIORITIZING PRINCIPLES:**

- A. Permanent housing (PH) is a first priority as reflected in weighting of score, then Safe Haven (SH), HMIS, and Transitional Housing (TH)
- B. Renewals (which include re-allocations) are important to our continuum's stable function and current proven successful housing of homeless beneficiaries. As such renewals/reallocations will score higher than new projects of the same housing category, for example, PH renewals will have more weighted points as a renewal above other PH new projects, but then compare with new projects of the same time as well as all other projects based on merits, cost efficiency, APR performance and special populations.
- C. Transitional Housing (TH) has its place in our Continuum, however, TH renewals will not have a higher weight as new PH bonus projects. All projects are then further scored in comparison to all projects, new or renewal based on merits, cost efficiency, APR performance and special population
- 2. **SCORING CRITERIA:** The following criterion were used in scoring
 - A. PROJECT TYPE
 - B. HUD POPULATION PRIORITIES
 - C. AGENCY EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY
 - D. CURRENT GRANT STATUS
 - E. PROJECT COST EFFECTIVENESS
 - F. NEW PROJECT APPLICATION (Not re-allocation): Readiness and Non-duplication
 - G. RENEWAL PROJECTS: APR/Performance
 - H. VULNERABILITY AND POSSIBLE NEEDS

										YWCA -Safe							YW-2015-	
										Haven							PSH-YW-	A
			Susquehanna		Dauphin Co 2008					Permanent		Shalom	CCU-RRH		Delta RRH		Bonus	A
		Side	Harbor Safe	HMIS	S+C Expansion	C I C	S+C 2011	\/\A/ T II	YWCA PHD		YW Veterans		(New	Dolto TU	Re-	YW-Linda		YW-PH-Re-
	K	Renewal	Haven	ПІЛІЗ	Renewal	S+C	Expansion	YW-TH	renewal	Housing	Housing	SHARP	Project)	Delta TH	allocation	House	Victims)	allocation
THRESHOLDS																		
Attend 75% of general membership meetings		Х	Х	Χ	X	X	X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	X
Consistent with BluePrint		Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	Х	X	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
Consistent with Consolidated Plan		Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	Х	X	X	X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
Consistent with Comp Plan and Zoning		Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	Х	Х	X	X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
Consistent with Human Services Plans		Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х	X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
PROJECT TYPE PRIORITIES																		
Renewals and Redistributed Projects																		
PH (50 pts)		50	0	0	50	50	50	0	50	50	50	50	0	0	50	0	50	50
HMIS (45 pts)		0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SH (40 pts)		0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TH (15 pts)		0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	15	0	0
New Projects																		
PH (15 pts)													15					
HMIS (10 pt)																		

PRO	DJECT BHA Side By Side Renewal	Susquehanna Harbor Safe Haven		Dauphin Co 2008 S+C Expansion Renewal	S+C	S+C 2011 Expansion	YW-TH	YWCA PHD renewal	YWCA -Safe Haven Permanent Supportive Housing	YW Veterans	Shalom House - SHARP	CCU-RRH (New Project)	Delta TH	Delta RRH Re- allocation	YW-Linda House	YW-2015- PSH-YW- Bonus Project (HT Victims)	YW-PH-Re- allocation
HUD POPULATION PRIORITIES (Range of 4- 10 points up to 34 pts)													A				
Dedicated Beds to CH (10 pts x % of beds)	0	10		10	0	0		0	10	10	10	0		0		0	10
Prioritized Beds for CH (for PH only) (4 pts X % of beds)	0	0		0	0.2	4		1	0	0	0	0		0		0	0
Dedicated Beds to Familes with Children (5 pts x % of beds)	4.375	0		0	0.9	0	3.810	3	0	0	0	5	5	5	3	3.6	0
Dedicated Beds to Veterans (5 pts x % of beds)	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dedicated Beds to Unacc Youth under 25 (5pts x % of beds)	0	0		0	0	0	0.476	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dedicated Beds to VAWA victims (5 pts x % of beds)	0	0		0	0	0	0.476	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0
AGENCY EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY (Total possible points 9)																	
Board Members' Experience (0.5 pts)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Executive Leadership Experience (2 pts)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Program Manager Experience (0.5 pts)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Agency Resume (2 pts)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Agency Financial Statement (2 pts)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Program Operating successfully/sufficient staff (2 pts) CURRENT GRANT STATUS (Total possible points 6) N/A for 2015 new project renewal, new projects, reallocation -given full points	nts 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Existing grants executed (2 pts)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Existing grants implemented and drawing funds on time (1 pts)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Participant eligibility adhered (1 pt)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Funds Recaptured by HUD (-2 pts)	-2	0	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No HUD findings in last 3 years (2 pts)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
PROJECT COST EFFECTIVENESS (Total possible points 8)	4	8	8	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	8	2	0	0	8
NUMBER OF BEDS(1 point for every 5 beds)	2	5		0	7	0	4	2	1	1	4	2	8	1	0	2	0
NEW PROJECT APPLICATION (Not re-allocation): Readiness and Non-duplicate (Total possible points: 5)	tion											5					
RENEWAL PROJECTS: APR/Performance (Total possible points 25) for 2015 new award renewal, HMIS and 2016 reallocation - given average total.	N/A of																
% PH destination at exit (% x 10 pts) or no-one left PH	10	6	5	10	8.3	8.6	9.7	8.2	10	10	10		9.2	5	10	5	5
% Increase ("or maintain" for PH projects) income (% x 10 pts)	10	3.5	5	10	6.8	8.6	9.6	8.8	3.8	4.2	10		4.3	5	6.7	5	5
Utilization rate above 80% (5 pt)	5	0	2.5	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0		0	2.5	0	2.5	2.5
VULNERABILITY AND POSSIBLE NEEDS (Total possible points: 20)																	
Serviing Low or no Income (2 pts)	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Not screening out current or past substance use (2 pts)	2	2		2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Serving or not screening out Criminal Record (2 pts)	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Willing to serve those having been or currently a victim of DV/VAWA (2pts)	2	0		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Serving those with significant Health/Behavioral challenges (2 pts)	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Serving those with a high utilization of crisis or ES (ie CH) (3 pts)	0	3		3	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	3	0	0	2
Vulnerability to victimization (some/all beds dedicated to serve VAWA) (2 pts)	0	2		0	0	0	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Serving those comng from streets or unsheltered situations (5 pts)	5	5		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	5
Total	113.375	105.5	80.5	118	105.2	104.2	76.0619048	113	110.4	118.2	118	68	76.5	100.5	66.7	105.1	114.5